



EIC Accelerator Physics – Part 1

Sergei Nagaitsev, BNL

CFNS School 6 June 2024



About me – Sergei Nagaitsev

EIC Technical Director, Brookhaven National Lab

Jefferson Lab Professor, ODU Department of Physics (<u>https://fs.wp.odu.edu/snagaits/</u>)

Recent professional experience:

- 2023 2024 EIC Lead Scientist, Jefferson Lab
- 2018 2022 Head of Accelerator Science Programs, Fermilab
- 2015 2022 Professor Part-time, The University of Chicago Accelerator Physics
- 2013 2018 Chief Accelerator Officer, Fermilab Accelerator Division

Community service:

- 2020 Chair, APS DPB
- 2021 2022 Member, HEP Snowmass Study Steering Group





Outline (Part 1)

Accelerators – engines for discovery

EIC overview

• From an accelerator physicist ©

Relevant accelerator physics

- Synchrotrons
- (Brief) beam dynamics
- Phase space, emittance, "beta"

Collider interaction regions

• "Low-beta" squeeze







Engines of Discovery

Engines of DISCOVERY



A Century of Particle Accelerators Revised and Expanded Edition

Andrew Sessler • Edmund Wilson

Particle beams behind physics discoveries

Vladimir Shiltsev

Advances in accelerator technology are enabling discoveries in particle physics and other fields.

Physics Today 73, 4, 32 (2020);

Nobel Prize Accounting: A high-level view on the influence of accelerators on physics

Impact of accelerators on physics was measured through Nobel Prizes:

- Criterion: Nobel awardee must have authored document citing accelerator contribution to work
- Nobel's directly influenced by Accelerators by 2009: 24

Average frequency of contribution of accelerators to Nobel in Physics: ~3 years

Reproduced from "The Influence of Accelerator Science on Physics Research," Haussecker and Chao



Count for Nobel Prize-winning researches or discoveries in physics from 1943 to 2009. (Haussecker and Chao, Phys Perspect. 13 (2011), p146)



Accelerators: Engines of Discovery

RHIC

AGS

NSRL

EBIS

BOOSTER

LINAC

A DOE User Facility to probe the fundamental properties of nuclear matter and to study what the universe may have looked like in the first few moments after its creation.

STAR



A DOE User Facility for Advanced Accelerator & Laser Research

(10R4)



A DOE User Facility to probe the fundamental properties of matter, paving the way to new scientific discoveries and innovations.



(LOB 3)

Accelerators are instruments for providing beams of charged particles – a highly-directed form of energy – to be used as probes and tools





7 colliders are in operation today, 2 under construction (EIC and NICA)

- LHC, RHIC, BEPC, Super-KEKB, DAFNE, VEPP-4M, VEPP-2000

April 26, 2024: a joint "Statement of Intent between the United States of America and the European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN) concerning Future Planning for Large Research Infrastructure Facilities, Advanced Scientific Computing, and Open Science" was signed at The White House.

The SOI expresses an intention by the United States to collaborate on a future FCC Higgs Factory should the CERN Member States determine the project feasible.



The Scientific Foundation for an EIC was Built Over Two Decades



1 0

The DOE CD0 Mission-Need 2019

The EIC is required in order to probe the role of gluons and sea quarks and to examine nature's possible adherence to the predictions of dense, and ultimately saturated, gluon matter. An EIC capable of making a considerable leap in technical capabilities beyond previous electron scattering programs must reach collision energies far higher than are currently available worldwide. The key EIC machine parameters required to address its scientific agenda are listed in the 2015 LRP. These include a high degree of beam polarization (~70%) for electrons and light ions, availability of ion beams from deuterons to the heaviest stable nuclei, variable center of mass energies ~20–100 GeV, upgradable to ~140 GeV (e-p), high collision luminosity ~10³³⁻³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹, and possibly more than one interaction region.

$$\begin{split} E_{COM} &\approx 2 \sqrt{E_e} E_h \\ E_e &= 5 \text{ GeV} & E_e = 5 \text{ GeV} & E_e = 10 \text{ GeV} & E_e = 18 \text{ GeV} \\ E_p &= 40 \text{ GeV} & E_p = 100 \text{ GeV} & E_p = 275 \text{ GeV} & E_p = 275 \text{ GeV} \\ E_{COM} &\approx 28 \text{ GeV} & E_{COM} \approx 45 \text{ GeV} & E_{COM} \approx 100 \text{ GeV} & E_{COM} \approx 140 \text{ GeV} \end{split}$$



EIC Project Critical Decisions and Plans

CD-0, Mission Need Approved	December 2019
DOE Site Selection Announced	January 2020
CD-1, Alternative Selection and Cost Range Approved	June 2021
CD-3A, Long-Lead Procurement Approved	March 2024
CD-3B, Long-Lead Procurement Planned Approval	March 2025
CD-2/3, Performance Baseline/Construction Start Plan	End 2025



DOE Independent Project Review for CD-3B and Project Status on Jan 7-9, 2025.

Electron-Ion Colliger

EIC Requirements

EIC design goals

- High luminosity: L = (0.1-1)·10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹ ○ → 10-100 fb⁻¹ "High" Collisions of highly polarized (>70%) e and p (and light ion) beams Unique with flexible bunch by bunch spin patterns Large range of CM energies: uniquely $\circ E_{cm} = 20-140 \text{ GeV}$ "Low" challenging ○ Large range of ion species: Protons – Uranium Diverse Ensure accommodation of a second IR Large detector acceptances; good background
 - Hadron particle loss
 - IR synchrotron radiation backgrounds





EIC Accelerator Design Overview

Hadron storage ring (HSR): 40-275 GeV (existing)

- o up to 1160 bunches, 1A avg beam current (3x RHIC, polarized)
- o bright vertical beam emittance (1.5 nm); new vac sleeves
- \circ hadron beam cooling

Electron storage ring (ESR): 5–18 GeV (new)

- $\circ~$ up to 1160 polarized bunches
 - o high polarization by continual reinjection from RCS
- 2.5 A avg beam current → 9 MW SR power
- o superconducting RF cavities

Rapid cycling synchrotron (RCS): 0.4-18 GeV (new)

o 2 bunches at 1 Hz; spin transparent due to high periodicity

High luminosity interaction region (new; future 2nd IR)

- \circ L = 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹ = 10 kHz-uba, superconducting magnets
- 25 mrad crossing angle with crab cavities/crab crossing
- spin rotators (produce longitudinal polarization at IP)





Species	proton	electron								
Energy [GeV]	275	18	275	10	100	10	100	5	41	5
CM energy [GeV]	140.7		104.9		63.2		44.7		28.6	
Bunch intensity [10 ¹⁰]	19.1	6.2	6.9	17.2	6.9	17.2	4.8	17.2	2.6	13.3
No. of bunches	290		1160		1160		1160		1160	
Beam current [A]	0.69	0.227	1	2.5	1	2.5	0.69	2.5	0.38	1.93
RMS norm. emit., h/v [μm]	5.2/0.47	845/71	3.3/0.3	391/26	3.2/0.29	391/26	2.7/0.25	196/18	1.9/0.45	196/34
RMS emittance, h/v [nm]	18/1.6	24/2.0	11.3/1.0	20/1.3	30/2.7	20/1.3	26/2.3	20/1.8	44/10	20/3.5
β*, h/v [cm]]	80/7.1	59/5.7	80/7.2	45/5.6	63/5.7	96/12	61/5.5	78/7.1	90/7.1	196/21.0
IP RMS beam size, h/v [µm]	119/11		95/8.5		138/12		125/11		198/27	
K_x	11.1		11.1		11.1		11.1		7.3	
RMS $\Delta \theta$, h/v [µrad]	150/150	202/187	119/119	211/152	220/220	145/105	206/206	160/160	220/380	101/129
BB parameter, $h/v [10^{-3}]$	3/3	93/100	12/12	72/100	12/12	72/100	14/14	100/100	15/9	53/42
RMS long. emittance $[10^{-3}, eV \cdot s]$	36		36		21		21		11	
RMS bunch length [cm]	6	0.9	6	0.7	7	0.7	7	0.7	7.5	0.7
RMS $\Delta p / p [10^{-4}]$	6.8	10.9	6.8	5.8	9.7	5.8	9.7	6.8	10.3	6.8
Max. space charge	0.007	neglig.	0.004	neglig.	0.026	neglig.	0.021	neglig.	0.05	neglig.
Piwinski angle [rad]	6.3	2.1	7.9	2.4	6.3	1.8	7.0	2.0	4.2	1.1
Long. IBS time [h]	2.0		2.9		2.5		3.1		3.8	
Transv. IBS time [h]	2.0		2		2.0/4.0		2.0/4.0		3.4/2.1	
Hourglass factor H	0.91		0.94		0.90		0.88		0.93	
Luminosity $[10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$	1.54		10.00		4.48		3.68		0.44	

Table 3.3: EIC beam parameters for different center-of-mass energies \sqrt{s} , with strong hadron cooling. High divergence configuration.

Today's plan

- Beam sources
- Beam energy
- Luminosity
- Beam transport (bending and focusing)
- Beam size at IP
 - Emittance
 - 'beta' function
- Maybe: beam stability



How to build particle accelerators?

In three easy steps:

- 1. One needs particles
- 2. Add acceleration
- 3. Add focusing

For colliders: add collisions



Nuclear and Particle Physics

The first "high-energy" accelerator, made by Cockroft and Walton, was immediately used to understand the atomic nucleus. They made the first artificially produced nuclear reaction:

p+Li -> 2He

Early accelerator developments were driven by the quest for higher and higher particle energies, which in turn was driven by developments in nuclear physics (through the 1960s) and then elementary particle physics (1960s-onward)

The largest accelerator is at CERN (LHC). It collides two proton beams of energy 7 TeV each.



Particle sources



The simplest conceptual model of an electron beam source is a planar diode.

- Cathodes typically operate at 1400K ($kT \approx 0.12 \text{ eV}$)
- An effective work function is about 1.6 eV

Ion sources are generally more complex. The ions are typically extracted from the plasma of a gas discharge.



Potential-Drop Accelerators

• These accelerators use at static, DC, potential difference between two conductors to impart a kinetic energy

$$\Delta W = qV_0$$

- Earliest particle accelerators were the Cockcroft-Walton generator and the Van de Graaff generator
- Highest voltage achieved is 25 MV
- It is difficult to establish and maintain a static DC field of 20+ MV







Van de Graff (tandem) generator



Cockroft-Walton generator

Cyclotron (Larmor) frequency

$$\omega_{c} = \frac{qB}{\gamma mc}$$

- Frequency weakly depends on particle energy (for nonrelativistic particles)
- Led to invention of a cyclotron



The Cyclotron Concept





The Recipe:

A magnetic field to bend a particle around.
A sinusoidally varying electric field.



The First Cyclotron





First cyclotron (1931):

- 1 kV gap voltage
- 80 kV protons
- 4.5" in diameter



.

Brookhaven

National Laboratory

Origins of Accelerator Physics Earn Two Nobels

Two Nobels were awarded for advent of accelerator technologies

Nobel 1939

"for the invention and development of the cyclotron and for results obtained with it, especially with regard to artificial radioactive elements."



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. Ernest Orlando Lawrence

Nobel 1951

"for their pioneer work on the transmutation of atomic nuclei by artificially accelerated atomic particles."



No.



Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. Sir John Douglas Cockcroft

Photo from the Nobel Foundation archive. Ernest Thomas Sinton Walton



https://history.aip.org/



Photo courtesy Lawrence Berkeley Lab

Acceleration by repeated application of time-varying accelerating fields

Two approaches for accelerating with time-varying fields



Circular Accelerators

Use one or a small number of Radiofrequency accelerating cavities and make use of repeated passage through them. This approach is realized in circular accelerators: Cyclotrons, synchrotrons and their variants

Linear Accelerators

Use many accelerating cavities through which the particle beam passes once:

These are linear accelerators



Beam rigidity

$$B\rho \text{ [G-cm]} \approx \frac{pc \text{ [eV]}}{300}$$

Beam rigidity plays an important role in beam dynamics. For example, the LHC beam is pc = 7 TeV.

$$B\rho \approx 2.3 \times 10^{10} \text{ G-cm} = 2.3 \times 10^4 \text{ T-m}$$



SC dipoles

8.3T



4.5 K He, NbTi + warm iron small He-plant

Small He-p Brookhaven National Laboratory

NbTi cable cold iron Al collar

NbTi cable simple & cheap NbTi cable 2K He two bores

EIC Accelerator Design Overview

Hadron storage ring (HSR): 40-275 GeV (existing)

- o up to 1160 bunches, 1A avg beam current (3x RHIC, polarized)
- o bright vertical beam emittance (1.5 nm); new vac sleeves
- \circ hadron beam cooling

Electron storage ring (ESR): 5–18 GeV (new)

- $\circ~$ up to 1160 polarized bunches
 - o high polarization by continual reinjection from RCS
- 2.5 A avg beam current → 9 MW SR power
- o superconducting RF cavities

Rapid cycling synchrotron (RCS): 0.4-18 GeV (new)

o 2 bunches at 1 Hz; spin transparent due to high periodicity

High luminosity interaction region (new; future 2nd IR)

- \circ L = 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹ = 10 kHz-uba, superconducting magnets
- 25 mrad crossing angle with crab cavities/crab crossing
- spin rotators (produce longitudinal polarization at IP)





Species	proton	electron								
Energy [GeV]	275	18	275	10	100	10	100	5	41	5
CM energy [GeV]	140.7		104.9		63.2		44.7		28.6	
Bunch intensity [10 ¹⁰]	19.1	6.2	6.9	17.2	6.9	17.2	4.8	17.2	2.6	13.3
No. of bunches	290		1160		1160		1160		1160	
Beam current [A]	0.69	0.227	1	2.5	1	2.5	0.69	2.5	0.38	1.93
RMS norm. emit., h/v [μm]	5.2/0.47	845/71	3.3/0.3	391/26	3.2/0.29	391/26	2.7/0.25	196/18	1.9/0.45	196/34
RMS emittance, h/v [nm]	18/1.6	24/2.0	11.3/1.0	20/1.3	30/2.7	20/1.3	26/2.3	20/1.8	44/10	20/3.5
β*, h/v [cm]]	80/7.1	59/5.7	80/7.2	45/5.6	63/5.7	96/12	61/5.5	78/7.1	90/7.1	196/21.0
IP RMS beam size, h/v [µm]	119/11		95/8.5		138/12		125/11		198/27	
K_x	11.1		11.1		11.1		11.1		7.3	
RMS $\Delta \theta$, h/v [µrad]	150/150	202/187	119/119	211/152	220/220	145/105	206/206	160/160	220/380	101/129
BB parameter, $h/v [10^{-3}]$	3/3	93/100	12/12	72/100	12/12	72/100	14/14	100/100	15/9	53/42
RMS long. emittance $[10^{-3}, eV \cdot s]$	36		36		21		21		11	
RMS bunch length [cm]	6	0.9	6	0.7	7	0.7	7	0.7	7.5	0.7
RMS $\Delta p / p [10^{-4}]$	6.8	10.9	6.8	5.8	9.7	5.8	9.7	6.8	10.3	6.8
Max. space charge	0.007	neglig.	0.004	neglig.	0.026	neglig.	0.021	neglig.	0.05	neglig.
Piwinski angle [rad]	6.3	2.1	7.9	2.4	6.3	1.8	7.0	2.0	4.2	1.1
Long. IBS time [h]	2.0		2.9		2.5		3.1		3.8	
Transv. IBS time [h]	2.0		2		2.0/4.0		2.0/4.0		3.4/2.1	
Hourglass factor H	0.91		0.94		0.90		0.88		0.93	
Luminosity $[10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$	1.54		10.00		4.48		3.68		0.44	

Table 3.3: EIC beam parameters for different center-of-mass energies \sqrt{s} , with strong hadron cooling. High divergence configuration.

Luminosity

Interaction rate per unit cross-section

$$L = f \frac{N_1 N_2}{A} \ [\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$$

For Gaussian cross-sections

$$L \approx f \frac{N_1 N_2}{4\pi \sigma_x \sigma_y} F(H, \sigma_L, ...)$$



Paraxial approximation in beams

$$\theta \approx \frac{p_{\perp}}{\tan \theta} \Box \frac{p_{\perp}}{\ln \theta}$$

 $\sin \theta \approx \theta, \quad \tan \theta \approx \theta \text{ Pand } \cos \theta \approx 1.$

Linear approximation for all transverse forces. Ray transfer matrix analysis for beam optics

• Similar to light optics.

Thin lens







Example: electron microscopes



Various axially-symmetric lenses are used in EM's





Consider examples of lenses:

• Electrostatic quadrupole

• Magnetostatic quadrupole







Bends (dipole magnets)



We consider the design orbit in a circular accelerator as a closed planar curve made up of arcs, each with a constant radius of curvature r_0 . Each bend in the orbit is caused by a sector magnet, see Fig. 1a. The cylindrical coordinates r, θ , z are applied in each sector magnet (Fig. 1b), and its magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = (B_r, B_\theta, B_z)$ is two-dimensional:

$$B_{\theta} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial B_{r,z}}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$





Dipole magnets

We start with the relativistic equation of motion in the horizontal plane

$$\gamma m \ddot{r} = \gamma m \frac{v_{\theta}^2}{r} - \frac{e}{c} B_z v_{\theta} \tag{1}$$

with the centrifugal and Lorentz forces on the right side. Here e and m are the particle's charge and mass, $\mathbf{v} = (v_r, v_\theta, v_z)$ is its velocity, c is the speed of light, $\beta = v/c$ and $\gamma = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ are the relativistic factors. On the design orbit the vertical field B_0 is related to the nominal momentum p_0 ,

$$p_0 c = \gamma_0 \beta_0 m c^2 = -e B_0 r_0, \tag{2}$$

where the subscript zero indicates nominal particle parameters. Consider a particle's trajectory in a neighborhood close to the design orbit and use the development around the design orbit

$$v_{z,r} \ll v_{\theta} \approx \beta_0 c, \quad x = r - r_0 \ll r_0.$$



Dipole magnets

Instead of equations of motion it is convenient to use equations of trajectories, changing the independent variable in Eq. (1) from time t to the path s along the design orbit, $ds = v_{\theta} dt \approx v_0 dt$,

$$r'' = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{eB_z}{\gamma\beta mc^2},\tag{3}$$

where the prime indicates the derivative over s. Development to first order on the right side of Eq. (3), taking account of the particle's momentum offset $p = p_0 + \Delta p$, and relation (2) yields

$$\frac{1}{r_0 + x} - \frac{e \left(B_0 + \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r x} + \dots\right)}{\gamma_0 \beta_0 m c^2 (1 + \Delta p/p_0)} \approx -\frac{x}{r_0^2} - \frac{e}{p_0 c} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r} x + \frac{1}{r_0} \frac{\Delta p}{p}.$$

Thus, for x we obtain

$$x'' + K_x x = \frac{1}{r_0} \frac{\Delta p}{p}, \qquad \qquad K_x = \frac{1}{r_0^2} + \frac{e}{p_0 c} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r}$$



Dipole magnets

For z we have similar

$$\gamma m \ddot{z} = -\frac{e}{c} B_r v_\theta ,$$
$$B_r = 0 + \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial z} z + \dots \approx \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r} z, \qquad \frac{\partial B_r}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r} = 0,$$

 $z'' + K_z z = 0,$

where K_z is the vertical focusing function,

$$K_z = -\frac{e}{p_0 c} \frac{\partial B_z}{\partial r}.$$



Matrix elements
$$\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} = 0$$
 $\begin{pmatrix} x\\x' \end{pmatrix}_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & s\\0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\x' \end{pmatrix}_0$ Drift space: $\frac{d^2x}{ds^2} + Kx = 0, K \ge 0$ Harmonic oscillator:
 $\begin{pmatrix} x\\x' \end{pmatrix}_s = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\sqrt{K}s & \frac{\sin\sqrt{K}s}{\sqrt{K}} \\ -\sqrt{K}\sin\sqrt{K}s & \cos\sqrt{K}s \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\x' \end{pmatrix}_0$ $\begin{pmatrix} x\\x' \end{pmatrix}_s = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\sqrt{|K|s} & \frac{\sinh\sqrt{|K|s}}{\sqrt{|K|}} \\ \sqrt{|K|}\sinh\sqrt{|K|s} & \cosh\sqrt{|K|s} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\x' \end{pmatrix}_0, K < 0$ **EXENSIVE**

FODO

By convention horizontal focusing/defocusing is shown; vertical is opposite



Most accelerator lattices (including EIC) are designed in modular ways

- Design and operational clarity, separation of functions
- One of the most common modules is a FODO module
 - Alternating focusing and defocusing "strong" quadrupoles
 - Spaces between are combinations of drifts and dipoles
 - Strong quadrupoles dominate the focusing
 - Periodicity is one FODO "cell"
 - Horizontal beam size largest at centers of focusing quads
 - Vertical beam size largest at centers of defocusing quads



How To Get Net-Focusing from Quadrupoles???

The key is to alternate focusing and defocusing quadrupoles. This is called a FODO lattice (Focus-Drift-Defocus-Drift). :







Synchrotrons: Periodic Focusing Systems

Periodic focusing systems with periodic boundary conditions

- A long academic history!
- Solid state, quantum mechanics...

Periodic 1D linear focusing system

• Hill's Equation (homogeneous)

$$x'' + K(s)x = 0$$
 $K(s) \equiv \frac{1}{(B\rho)} \left(\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x}\right)(s)$ $K(s+C) = K(s)$

• Overall synchrotron is periodic, as are repeated FODO "cells"

Symmetry is locally broken for "insertions"

 Match boundary conditions between sections with different K values (focusing)



Phase Space and Emittance

Equations of motion are **second-order differential equations**

Like classical mechanics, particle trajectories are determined by their **equation of motion** (Hill's equation) and **two initial conditions**

- Horizontal $(x, x' \equiv dx/ds)$ x',y' are angles
- Vertical $(y, y' \equiv dy/ds)$
- x',y' are small for high-energy accelerators
 - Paraxial optics approximations apply

Transverse distributions are usually Gaussian

• RMS distribution ellipse area: emittance [mm-mrad]



Longitudinal similar but different



Species	proton	electron								
Energy [GeV]	275	18	275	10	100	10	100	5	41	5
CM energy [GeV]	140.7		104.9		63.2		44.7		28.6	
Bunch intensity [10 ¹⁰]	19.1	6.2	6.9	17.2	6.9	17.2	4.8	17.2	2.6	13.3
No. of bunches	290		1160		1160		1160		1160	
Beam current [A]	0.69	0.227	1	2.5	1	2.5	0.69	2.5	0.38	1.93
RMS norm. emit., h/v [μm]	5.2/0.47	845/71	3.3/0.3	391/26	3.2/0.29	391/26	2.7/0.25	196/18	1.9/0.45	196/34
RMS emittance, h/v [nm]	18/1.6	24/2.0	11.3/1.0	20/1.3	30/2.7	20/1.3	26/2.3	20/1.8	44/10	20/3.5
β*, h/v [cm]]	80/7.1	59/5.7	80/7.2	45/5.6	63/5.7	96/12	61/5.5	78/7.1	90/7.1	196/21.0
IP RMS beam size, h/v [µm]	119/11		95/8.5		138/12		125/11		198/27	
K_x	11.1		11.1		11.1		11.1		7.3	
RMS $\Delta \theta$, h/v [µrad]	150/150	202/187	119/119	211/152	220/220	145/105	206/206	160/160	220/380	101/129
BB parameter, $h/v [10^{-3}]$	3/3	93/100	12/12	72/100	12/12	72/100	14/14	100/100	15/9	53/42
RMS long. emittance $[10^{-3}, eV \cdot s]$	36		36		21		21		11	
RMS bunch length [cm]	6	0.9	6	0.7	7	0.7	7	0.7	7.5	0.7
RMS $\Delta p / p [10^{-4}]$	6.8	10.9	6.8	5.8	9.7	5.8	9.7	6.8	10.3	6.8
Max. space charge	0.007	neglig.	0.004	neglig.	0.026	neglig.	0.021	neglig.	0.05	neglig.
Piwinski angle [rad]	6.3	2.1	7.9	2.4	6.3	1.8	7.0	2.0	4.2	1.1
Long. IBS time [h]	2.0		2.9		2.5		3.1		3.8	
Transv. IBS time [h]	2.0		2		2.0/4.0		2.0/4.0		3.4/2.1	
Hourglass factor H	0.91		0.94		0.90		0.88		0.93	
Luminosity $[10^{33} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]$	1.54		10.00		4.48		3.68		0.44	

Table 3.3: EIC beam parameters for different center-of-mass energies \sqrt{s} , with strong hadron cooling. High divergence configuration.

Beta star

Beam size depends on emittance and optics "beta": $\beta(s) = \sigma(s)^2/\epsilon$

• Look for kinks in beta function plots





magnets

beam

PHYS. REV. ACCEL. BEAMS 23, 041001 (2020)



Beta in Free Space

Can minimum beam size be achieved in "free space"

• Yes! We can demonstrate in free space

$$\beta(s) = \beta^{\star} + s^2 / \beta^{\star}$$

Design tensions:

- Low beta* for high luminosity
- Proximity of quadrupoles to IP
- Large-aperture quads feasibility/quality
- (Beam divergence near IP; "hourglass")
- (Downstream secondary focus)





National Laboratory

Focusing (quads)

5 µm

as close to IP as possible (~5m!)

Tensions in magnet requirements:

- high field
- large apertures
- e/p magnet proximity near IP

Chromaticity:

focusing dependence on particle energy