# **Exploring Meson Structures from Lattice QCD** Qi Shi BNL & CCNU

In collaboration with H.-T. Ding, X. Gao, A.D. Hanlon, S. Mukherjee, P. Petreczky, S. Syritsyn, R. Zhang and Y. Zhao

From Quarks and Gluons to the Internal Dynamics of Hadrons









- - based on arXiv: 2404.04412
- - in preperation

#### Outline

#### Electromagnetic Form Factor (EMFF) of Pion and Kaon

#### Pion Light-cone Generalized Parton Distribution (GPD)

# **Motivation**

EPJA 48 (2012) 187 JPG 48 (2021) 075106 arXiv: 2102.09222 - Experiment: JLab, EIC, EicC ...

Gao et al., PRD 96 (2017) 034024

- Effective theory: QCD sum rules, DSE ...
- Lattice QCD: first principle

PRD 96 (2017) 114509 ETMC, PRD 105 (2022) 054502

O State-of-the-art:  $Q^2 \le 6$ , 3 GeV<sup>2</sup>

• This work:  $Q^2$  up to 10, 28 GeV<sup>2</sup>





#### **Motivation**

Low 
$$Q^2$$
: Vector Meson Dominance  
 $r_{\text{eff}}^2(Q^2) = 6[1/F_{\pi}(Q^2) - 1]/Q^2$   
 $\langle r_{\pi}^2 \rangle = 0.42(2) \text{ fm}^2, \quad \langle r_{\pi}^2 \rangle_{PDG} = 0.434(5) \text{ fm}^2$ 



#### **High** $Q^2$ : Factoriation framework

#### $F(Q^2) = \iint dx dy \Phi^*(x, \mu_F^2) T_H(x, y, Q^2, \mu_R^2, \mu_F^2) \phi(y, \mu_F^2)$ **Distribution amplitude**





4

#### He How to get the form factor on the lattice



 $C_{2pt}(t,\vec{p}) = \left\langle H(t_s,\vec{p})H^{\dagger}(0,\vec{p}) \right\rangle$ 

 $R^{fi} \sim C_{3pt} / C_{2pt} \xrightarrow{t_s \to \infty}$   $F^B = \left\langle E_0, \vec{p}^f | \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\gamma^{\mu}}(\tau, \vec{q}) | E_0, \vec{p}^i \right\rangle$ 

Bare Form factor





 $F(Q^2) = F^B \times Z_V^{-1}$  $(Q^2 = -t)$ 



#### H Bare Form Factor



Bare form factor  $F^B \times Z_V^{-1} = F(Q^2)$ 

# **HF** Electromagnetic Form Factor



Hard-process kernel  $F(Q^{2}) = \iint dx dy \Phi^{*}(x, \mu_{F}^{2}) T_{H}(x, y, Q^{2}, \mu_{R}^{2}, \mu_{F}^{2}) \phi(y, \mu_{F}^{2})$ Distribution amplitude

 $F(Q^2 \to \infty) = 8\pi \alpha_s(Q^2) f^2/Q^2$ ,  $Q^2 F/f^2 \sim \text{Constant}$ 





Lattice (filled symbols): Gao et al., PRD 104 (2021) 114515  $F^{\pi}$  collaboration: Huber et al., PRC 78 (2008) 045203 DSE (Dyson-Schwinger equation): Gao et al., PRD 96 (2017) 034024 BSE21 (Bethe-Salpeter equation): Ydrefors et al., PLB 820 (2021) 136494 BSE24: Jia and Cloët, arXiv:2402.00285  $k_T$  factorization: Cheng, PRD 100 (2019) 013007 pion: Chai et al., EPJC 83 (2023) 556 kaon: in preparation  $T_H$  in the collinear factorization: Chen et al., arXiv:2312.17228

DA in the collinear factorization: see Rui's talk on Friday



- - based on arXiv: 2404.04412
- - in preperation

#### Outline

#### Electromagnetic Form Factor (EMFF) of Pion and Kaon

#### Pion Light-cone Generalized Parton Distribution (GPD)

#### **Motivation**

1D







#### # Frame-independent approach

Bhattacharya et al., PRD 106 (2022) 114512 See Martha's talk on Wednesday

• Lorentz-invariant amplitudes  $A_i$ 's

 $M^{\mu}(P^{m}u, z^{\mu}, \Delta^{\mu}) = \bar{P}^{\mu}A_{1} + m^{2}z^{\mu}A_{2} + \Delta^{\mu}$ 

 $z^{\mu} = (0,0,0,z), \ \Delta^{\mu} = (\Delta^{t}, \Delta^{x}, \Delta^{y}, 0).$ 

 $A_i(\text{sym frame}) \simeq A_i(\text{asym frame})$ 

• Lorentz-invariant quasi-GPD  $\tilde{H}_{\rm LI}$  $\tilde{H}_{\text{LI}}(z \cdot P, z \cdot \Delta, \Delta^2, z^2) = A_1 + \frac{z \cdot \Delta}{z \cdot \bar{P}} A_3$ 

 $A_3(-z \cdot \Delta) = -A_3(z \cdot \Delta) \implies A_3(z \cdot \Delta = 0) = 0$ 



Calculate in the asymmetric frame

Save computational cost

$${}^{\mu}A_{3}, \quad \bar{P}^{\mu} = (p_{f}^{\mu} + p_{i}^{\mu})/2, \quad \Delta^{\mu} = p_{f}^{\mu} - p_{i}^{\mu}.$$











#### **H** Bare matrix elements $M^B$

- Largest momentum:  $P_z = 1.937$  GeV,
- Varying different momentum transfer -t





$$\left.\begin{array}{c}z\uparrow\\-t\uparrow\end{array}\right\}M^B\downarrow$$

Quasi-GPDs

11

### Renormalization: Hybrid scheme

- RI/MOM, ratio schemes short distance
- Hybrid scheme

Logarithmic He  

$$M^{B}(z, a) = Z(a)e^{-\delta m(a)|z|}e$$
  
Linear

$$z \leq z_S$$
:

$$z \ge z_S$$
:

Hybrid scheme,  $M^R$ 

Ji et al., NPB 964 (2021) 115311

both short and long distance

andle the renormalon ambiguity  $-\bar{m}_{0}|z|M^{R}(z)$ .

Gao et al., PRL 128 (2022) 142003

 $\frac{M^{R}(z,\vec{p},\vec{q})}{M^{R}(z,0,0)} = \frac{M^{B}(z,\vec{p},\vec{q})}{M^{B}(z,0,0)}, \quad \text{Ratio scheme}$ 

 $\frac{M^{R}(z, \vec{p}, \vec{q})}{M^{R}(z, \vec{p}, \vec{q})} = e^{(\delta m + \bar{m}_{0})|z - z_{S}|} \frac{M^{R}(z, \vec{p}, \vec{q})}{M^{R}(z, \vec{p}, \vec{q})}$  $M^{R}(z_{S}, 0, 0)$  $M^{B}(z_{S}, 0, 0)$ 



# **Hybrid-scheme**

- $a\delta m(a) = 0.1508(12)$  for a = 0.04 fm lattice.
- $\bar{m}_0$ :  $M^B$  at  $P_z = 0$ ,  $\vec{\Delta} = \vec{0}$ ;  $e^{(\delta m + \delta m)}$

 $C_0$ : NNLO,

Leading-Renormalon Resummation, Renormalization Group Resummation  $(\mu_0 = 2\kappa e^{-\gamma_E}/z \rightarrow \mu = 2 \text{ GeV})$ 



#### Gao et al., PRL 128 (2022) 142003 tice.



#### **Renormalization**







$$H(x,t) = \int \frac{dk}{|k|} \int \frac{dy}{|y|} C_{\text{evo}}^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{k}, \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}\right) C^{-1} \left(\frac{k}{y}, \frac{\mu_0}{yP_z}, |y|\lambda_s\right) \tilde{H}(y, P_z, t, z_s, \mu_0) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2}{(xP_z)^2}, \frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2}{[(1-x)P_z]^2}\right)$$

$$\textbf{IC GPDs}$$

$$\textbf{quasi-GPDs}$$







### **W** Valence LC GPDs: -t-dependence



• Scale variation (lighter filled bands): -0.15 < x < 0.3

 $\mathcal{X}$ 



# Valence LC GPDs: x-dependence







#### Summary

- Pion and kaon EMFF at the physical point
  - -t up to 10 and 28 GeV<sup>2</sup> for the pion and kaon
  - Consistent with the existing experimental results
  - Consistent with the collinear factorization results when -t > 5 GeV<sup>2</sup>
- Pion LC GPD in the asymmetric frame
  - Hybrid-scheme renormalization
  - Matching with NNLO + LRR + RGR
  - -t, x-dependence of the LC GPDs

#### Thanks for your attention!





#### Backup



### # Extract Energy and Amplitude



Take kaon data as an example



### **W** Valence LC GPDs: *z<sub>s</sub>*-dependence





# **W** Valence LC GPDs: $P_z$ -dependence





### # Valence LC GPDs: Order-dependence



 $Q^2 = -t$  increases

NNLO: better perturbative convergence

Order-dependence reduces



# Impact-parameter dependent parton distributions

$$H_b(x,b) = \int rac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{q}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} H(x,\xi=0,\mathbf{q}_\perp^2) e^{i\mathbf{b}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{q}_\perp}$$





# In Impact-parameter dependent parton distributions





- 0.3

- 0.2

0.1

