# Lattice QCD Calculation of the Pion Distribution Amplitude with Domain Wall Fermions at Physical Pion Mass

Rui Zhang

Argonne National Laboratory



From Quarks and Gluons to the Internal Dynamics of Hadrons, CFNS, Stony Brook University, May 15-17, 2024

In collaboration with Ethan Baker, Dennis Bollweg, Peter Boyle, Ian Cloet, Xiang Gao, Swagato Mukherjee, Peter Petreczky, and Yong Zhao

### Outline

Introduction to pion distribution amplitude

Lattice calculation of pion quasi-DA

Extracting x-dependence from lattice data

**Conclusion and Outlook** 



#### Pion Distribution Amplitude (DA)

Pion lightfront DA  $\phi(x)$ : probability amplitude of pion in the bound state's minimal fock component  $|q\bar{q}\rangle$  with collinear momentum fraction x and 1 - x

$$\phi(x,\mu) = \frac{1}{if_{\pi}} \int \frac{d\xi^{-}}{2\pi} e^{i\left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right)\xi^{-}p^{+}} \langle 0|\bar{q}\left(\frac{\xi^{-}}{2}\right)\gamma^{-}\gamma_{5}U\left(\frac{\xi^{-}}{2},-\frac{\xi^{-}}{2}\right)q\left(-\frac{\xi^{-}}{2}\right)|\pi(p)\rangle$$









# Phenomenology of pion DA



x +

GPD

Universal inputs to various hard exclusive processes at large momentum transfer  $Q^2$ 

- $\pi \rightarrow \gamma \gamma^*$  transition form factor
- Pion electromagnetic form factor
- Deeply virtual meson production Brodsky, et.al, PRD (1994)
- Heavy meson decay Beneke, et.al, PRL (1999)
- Exclusive Photoproduction Z.Yu & J.Qiu, PRL (2024)



Weakly constrained by experiments! (See Zhite Yu's talk) What about a direct calculation from first principle?

# Lattice QCD

- Discretization of QCD action:
- Construction of correlators:

 $C_2(t) = \langle \chi_{src}(0) | \chi_{snk}(t) \rangle \qquad C_3(t) = \langle \chi_{src}(0) | O(t) | \chi_{snk}(\tau) \rangle$ 

(0,x)
 (t,y)
 (0,x)
 (t,z)
 (τ,y)
 <li





#### Euclidean 4D spacetime





Savage, NNPSS (2015)



### Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET)





 $C(x, y, \mu, P_z) \otimes \phi(y, \mu)$ 

 $+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}{x^2 P_{z}^2}, \frac{\Lambda_{QCD}^2}{\bar{x}^2 P_{z}^2}\right)$ 



### Progress in x-dependent DA calculations





#### Lattice Setup

- Physical pion mass
- Chiral symmetric Fermion action domain wall fermions
- Momentum smeared quark source

Lattice Spacing-a	Pion Mass	Lattice Volume	$m_{\pi}L$	Fermion Action
0.0836 fm	137 MeV	$64^3 \times 128 \times 12$	3.73	2+1f <mark>DW</mark>
Momentum Smearing	Pion Momentum	n Samples	Sources	Effective Statistics
$k = \{0, 1.4\}  \text{GeV}$	$P_z = [0, 1.85]$ GeV	√ 55	{32, 128}	Up to 28,160





# Recipe







#### Lattice raw data and fitting

 $C_{\pi\pi}(t) = \langle O_{\pi}(0) | O_{\pi}(t) \rangle,$   $C_{\pi O_{0}}(t,z) = \langle O_{\pi}(0) | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2},t) \gamma_{t} \gamma_{5} W(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2},t) | \Omega \rangle,$  $C_{\pi O_{3}}(t,z) = \langle O_{\pi}(0) | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2},t) \gamma_{z} \gamma_{5} W(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2},t) | \Omega \rangle,$ 

$$C_{\pi\pi}(t) = \sum A_i^{\pi} (e^{-E_i t} + e^{-E_i (N_t - t)}),$$
  

$$C_{\pi O_0}(t, z) = \sum A_i^{O_0}(z) (e^{-E_i t} + e^{-E_i (N_t - t)}),$$
  

$$C_{\pi O_3}(t, z) = \sum A_i^{O_3}(z) (e^{-E_i t} + e^{-E_i (N_t - t)}),$$



#### Bare matrix elements

$$egin{aligned} &A_0^\pi = rac{|\langle O_\pi | \pi 
angle|^2}{2E_0},\ &A_0^{O_0}(z) = rac{\langle O_\pi | \pi 
angle}{2E_0} f_\pi H_{\gamma_t \gamma_5}(z) E_0,\ &A_0^{O_3}(z) = rac{\langle O_\pi | \pi 
angle}{2E_0} i f_\pi H_{\gamma_z \gamma_5}(z) P_z, \end{aligned}$$

Pion DA is symmetric (vanishing imaginary part)

The lattice data decays exponentially with the Wilson link length.

The bare results contains both logarithmic and linear divergence in lattice spacing a







# Renormalizing linear divergence

- Non-local operator:  $\overline{q}(0)\Gamma U(0,z)q(z)$
- Linearly divergent self-energy  $\delta m(a) \sim \frac{1}{a}$ 
  - $h^B(z) \sim e^{-\delta m(a) \cdot z}$  Ji, et.al, PRL (2017)
- Renormalon ambiguity in  $\Delta(\delta m(a)) \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$  Beneke, PLB (1995)
  - Renormalon also in the matching kernel Braun, et al., PRD (2018)

• 
$$h^{R}(z) \sim h^{B}(z)e^{\delta m \cdot z}$$
 uncertain up to  $e^{\mathcal{O}(z\Lambda_{QCD})} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{QCD}}{xP_{z}}\right)$  in  $\tilde{q}$ 

How to remove linear ambiguity?

$$\ln\left(\frac{C_0(z, z^{-1}) \exp(-I(z))}{h^B(z, 0)}\right) = \delta m |z| + b$$

- Determine  $\delta m(a)$  from matching P = 0 lattice data to pQCD, with a consistently defined regularization of renormalon as the matching.
  - Leading renormalon resummation

Zhang, et al., PLB (2023)







#### $\delta m$ with leading renormalon resummation

Zhang, et al., PLB (2023)

$$\ln\left(\frac{C_0(z, z^{-1}) \exp(-I(z))}{h^B(z, 0)}\right) = \delta m |z| + b$$





# Consistency with OPE







#### Renormalization in hybrid scheme

Ji, et al., NPB (2020)





# Longtail extrapolation $(\lambda = zP_z \rightarrow \infty)$

Ji, et al., NPB (2020)

Quasi-DA matrix elements have finite correlation length;





# Logarithms in the Matching Kernel

$$\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_t \gamma_5}(x, y, \mu, P_z) = \delta(x - y) + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)C_F}{2\pi} \begin{bmatrix} \left\{ \frac{1 + x - y}{y - x} \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{y}} \ln \frac{(y - x)}{\bar{x}} + \frac{1 + y - x}{y - x} \frac{x}{y} \ln \frac{(y - x)}{-x} & x < 0 \\ \frac{1 + y - x}{y - x} \frac{x}{y} \ln \frac{4x(y - x)P_z^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{1 + x - y}{y - x} \left( \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{y}} \ln \frac{y - x}{\bar{x}} - \frac{x}{y} \right) & 0 < x < y < 1 \\ \frac{1 + x - y}{x - y} \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{y}} \ln \frac{4\bar{x}(x - y)P_z^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{1 + y - x}{x - y} \left( \frac{x}{y} \ln \frac{x - y}{x} - \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{y}} \right) & 0 < y < x < 1 \\ \frac{1 + y - x}{x - y} \frac{x}{\bar{y}} \ln \frac{(x - y)}{x} + \frac{1 + x - y}{x - y} \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{y}} \ln \frac{(x - y)}{-\bar{x}} & 1 < x \end{bmatrix}$$

00000

- Efremov-Radyushkin-Brodsky-Lepage logarithm
  - Physical scale of the system
    - Quark momentum logarithm  $L = \ln x$
    - Anti-quark momentum logarithm  $L = \ln \bar{x}$
- Threshold logarithm
  - Gluon momentum  $L = \ln |x y|$
- Only one RG equation (ERBL evolution): How to resum?

Both become important in the threshold limit  $x \rightarrow y$ 



# Factorizing Hard and "Soft" scales

Becher, Neubert & Pecjak JHEP(2007)

- All three logsrithms are important only in the threshold limit
  - $x y \rightarrow 0$ , soft gluon emission
- Integrate out hard modes
  - Sudakov factor H
    - Quark component
    - Anti-quark component

Ji, Liu & Su JHEP (2023)

Threshold log: soft gluon

- Integrate out hard collinear modes
  - Jet function J





# Separating all three scales

• 
$$C(x \to y, \mu, P) \approx H(xP, \mu)H(\bar{x}P, \mu)J(|x - y|P, \mu)$$
  
•  $H\left(L_z^{\pm} = \ln\left(\frac{2xP}{\mu}\right)^2 + i\pi \operatorname{sgn}(zx), \mu\right) = 1 + \frac{C_F \alpha_s(\mu)}{4\pi} \left[-\frac{1}{2}(L_z^{\pm})^2 + L_z^{\pm} - 2 - \frac{5\pi^2}{12}\right]$ 

• 
$$J\left(l_z = \ln \frac{z^2 \mu^2 e^{2\gamma_E}}{4}, \mu\right) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2}l_z^2 + l_z + \frac{\pi^2}{12} + 2\right)$$

- Double logarithm come from soft and colinear divergences
- Cancellation of  $\ln^2 \mu^2$  between H and J happens at all orders





# Correcting the matching kernel

• Resummed Sudakov factor:  $H = |H|e^{i\hat{A}}$  $|H(\mu)| = |H(\mu_1, \mu_2)|e^{S(\mu_1, \mu) + S(\mu_2, \mu) - a_c(\mu_1, \mu) - a_c(\mu_2, \mu)} \times \left(\frac{2xP_z}{\mu_1}\right)^{-a_{\Gamma}(\mu_1, \mu)} \left(\frac{2\bar{x}P_z}{\mu_2}\right)^{-a_{\Gamma}(\mu_2, \mu)}$ 

$$\hat{A}^{\text{RGR}}(xP_z, \bar{x}P_z, \mu_1, \mu_2) = \pi \text{sign}(z) \left[ \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_1)C_F}{2\pi} \left( 1 - \ln \frac{4x^2 P_z^2}{\mu_1^2} \right) - \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_2)C_F}{2\pi} \left( 1 - \ln \frac{4\bar{x}^2 P_z^2}{\mu_2^2} \right) + 2\int_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} \frac{\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}}{\mu} d\mu \right]$$

• Resummed Jet function:

$$J(\Delta,\mu) = e^{\left[-2S(\mu_i,\mu) + a_J(\mu_i,\mu)\right]} \tilde{J}_z(l_z = -2\partial_\eta, \alpha_s(\mu_i)) \left[\frac{\sin(\eta\pi/2)}{|\Delta|} \left(\frac{2|\Delta|}{\mu_i}\right)^\eta\right]_* \frac{\Gamma(1-\eta)e^{-\eta\gamma_E}}{\pi} \Big|_{\eta = 2a_\Gamma(\mu_i,\mu)}$$

- $C_{TR} = (H \otimes J)_{TR} \otimes (H \otimes J)_{NLO}^{-1} \otimes C_{NLO}$
- Inverse matching:

$$C_{TR}^{-1} = C_{NLO}^{-1} \otimes (H \otimes J)_{NLO} \otimes (H \otimes J)_{TR}^{-1}$$

Argonne LABORATORY

What are the scale choices of  $\mu_{1,2}$  and  $\mu_i$ ?



# Scale choices of resummation

- Hard scale:
  - $H(xP,\mu)$ : quark momentum  $\mu_{h_1} = 2xP$
  - $H(\bar{x}P,\mu)$ : anti-quark momentum  $\mu_{h_2} = 2\bar{x}P$
- Semi-hard scale:
  - $J(|y x|P, \mu)$ : gluon momentum  $\mu_i = 2|y x|P$  ?
  - This scale choice is not applicable because  $\mu_i \rightarrow 0$  hits the Landau Pole for any given x! Becher, Neubert & Pecjak JHEP(2007)
- Actual semi-hard scale choice turns out to be
  - 2xP when  $x \to 0$
  - $2\bar{x}P$  when  $x \to 1$
  - We choose  $\mu_i = 2 \min(x, \bar{x}) P$





#### Matching with Resummed Kernel

Scale variation:  $\mu_i \rightarrow c * \mu_i$ ,  $c = [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{2}]$ 

When scale variation becomes large, perturbation theory is no longer reliable









Different fermion actions on

# **Comparison of Final Results**

 Different operators similar lattice 1.4 1.4 1.2 1.2 1.0 1.0 8.0 <del>x</del> (x) φ  $\mathbf{v}_t \gamma_5$ (stat & syst) DWF(stat & syst) 0.6 0.6  $\checkmark \gamma_z \gamma_5$ (stat & syst) HISQ(stat & syst) 0.4 0.4 **DWF result is slightly flatter (within**  $2\sigma$ **)** Consistent 0.2 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 Х Х



# **Conclusion and Outlook**

- We present a pion DA calculation using gauge ensembles with domain wall fermions;
- We propose and develop a more robust method to resum the smallmomentum logarithms in the perturbative matching kernel of DA, the first implementation of threshold resummation in the LaMET DA calculation;
- >We observe a slightly flatter distribution for domain wall fermions.

Continuum limit is needed for a more conclusive comparison
 Larger pion momentum is needed to extend the x range of calculation
 More precise measurement of DA longtail is needed



# Thank you for listening!

# **Backup Slides**

#### fits



#### **Extracting Moments**

• RG-invariant ratio:

 $\mathcal{M}(z, P_1, P_2) = \lim_{a \to 0} \frac{H^B(z, P_2, a)}{H^B(z, P_1, a)} = \frac{H^R(z, P_2)}{H^R(z, P_1)}$ 

• Fit to OPE

 $\mathcal{M}(z, P_1, P_2) \approx \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(\frac{-izP_2}{2})^n}{n!} C_{nm}(z, \mu) \langle \xi^m \rangle}{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(\frac{-izP_1}{2})^n}{n!} C_{nm}(z, \mu) \langle \xi^m \rangle}$ 



#### Consistency with OPE (Ratio)



#### **Different momenta**

- Compare with  $P_z = 1.6 GeV$
- The range of calculation increases with momentum

