Threshold resummation for the virtual Compton process

Jakob Schönleber

RIKEN BNL

Based on [J.S., 2022] and [J.S., in preparation]

May 17, 2024

Virtual Compton scattering

$$\gamma^*(q) \ N(p) \longrightarrow \gamma^{(*)}(q') \ N(p')$$



Kinematical parameters

$$P^{\mu} = \frac{p^{\mu} + p'^{\mu}}{2}, \qquad Q^{2} = -\frac{1}{4}(q+q')^{2}, \qquad t = (p-p')^{2}, \qquad s = (p+q)^{2},$$
$$x_{B} = -\frac{(q+q')^{2}}{2(p+p')\cdot(q+q')}, \qquad \xi = \frac{n\cdot(p-p')}{n\cdot(p+p')}, \qquad m^{2} = p^{2} = p'^{2}$$

- n is an auxiliary light-cone vector that projects p and p' onto their large light-cone components $p^+ \equiv n \cdot p$ and $p'^+ \equiv n \cdot p'$.
- We consider $Q^2 \to \infty$ limit at fixed x_B and t.
- **DVCS:** $q'^2 = 0$ or equivalently $x_B = \xi$.

Virtual Compton scattering $\gamma^*(q) \ N(p) \longrightarrow \gamma^{(*)}(q') \ N(p')$



Leading power kinematics in terms of two light-cone vectors n, \bar{n}

$$p^{\mu} = (1+\xi)P^{+}\bar{n}^{\mu},$$

$$p'^{\mu} = (1-\xi)P^{+}\bar{n}^{\mu},$$

$$q^{\mu} = -(x_{B}+\xi)P^{+}\bar{n}^{\mu} + \frac{Q^{2}}{2x_{B}P^{+}}n^{\mu},$$

$$q'^{\mu} = -(x_{B}-\xi)P^{+}\bar{n}^{\mu} + \frac{Q^{2}}{2x_{B}P^{+}}n^{\mu}.$$



- a) Leading region of DVCS amplitude, b) Factorized form after performing expansion.
 Dashed lines can be quarks or transversely polarized gluons.
- Collinear approximation: In H set $l=(l^+,l^-,l_\perp)\sim \hat{l}=(l^+,0,0_\perp)$ and $M^2=t=0$

$$T^{\mu\nu} \sim \int d^4l \, H(q,q',l) A(p,p',l) \sim \int dl^+ \underbrace{H(\hat{q},\hat{q}',\hat{l})}_{\text{coefficient function } C} \underbrace{\int dl^- d^2l_\perp A(p,p',l)}_{\text{GPD}}$$

• C has double logs

$$C = \frac{1}{x - x_B} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \left[\log^2 \left(\frac{x - x_B}{2x_B} \right) + \dots \right] + \left(\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{2} \log^4 \left(\frac{x - x_B}{2x_B} \right) + \dots \right] + \dots \right\} + O((x - x_B)^0)$$

- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ Do these logs exponentiate to all orders? Can resum? \rightarrow Yes.
- ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$ Are these logs "large"? ${\rightarrow}$ Probably not.

• Let *l* be the parton momentum and *k* = *l* + *q*. Then the partonic center-of-mass energy is

$$k^2 \approx \frac{x - x_B}{2x_B} Q^2,$$

where $x = \frac{l^+}{P^+} - \xi$.

- I define the "threshold region" by $|k^2| \ll Q^2$ or equivalently $|x-x_B| \ll 1.$
- Hard propagator becomes collinear the n-direction

$$\boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{l} + \boldsymbol{q} \approx \left((\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_B) \boldsymbol{P}^+, \frac{\boldsymbol{Q}^2}{2\boldsymbol{x}_B \boldsymbol{P}^+}, \boldsymbol{0}_\perp \right)$$



• Consider two parameters

$$\begin{split} \lambda &\sim \frac{\sqrt{|t|}}{Q} \sim \frac{m}{Q} \sim \frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{Q}, \\ \eta &\sim \frac{\sqrt{|k^2|}}{Q} = \sqrt{\frac{|x_B - x|}{x_B}}. \end{split}$$

• Main factorization formula is

$$T = \int dl^+ C(k,q,q') F(l^+,p,p') + O(\lambda).$$

• Idea: Derive secondary factorization of the coefficient function

$$C(k,q,q',\mu) = H(-q^2,\mu)H(-q'^2,\mu)\mathcal{J}(-k^2,\mu) + \hat{O}(\eta).$$

 $(\hat{O} \text{ means } O \text{ up to logarithms})$

• Solve evolution equations for H and $\mathcal J$ to resum power of $\log(x-x_B)$

- \bullet Simplification: Consider the coefficient function C as on-shell and massless amplitude.
- This is not quite true, because we also have subtractions. There are arguments why the subtractions also factorize.
- This simplification kills the target-collinear and soft regions (they always lead to scaleless integrals), so we only have two regions: hard and n-collinear.
- \bullet Contribution from a given region R that has collinear subgraph B and a hard subgraph H is proportional to $\eta^{p(R)},$ where

$$\begin{split} p(R) &= -4 + \#(\text{external lines}) + \#(\text{lines }B \text{ to }H) \\ &- \#(\text{scalar pol. gluon lines }B \text{ to }H). \end{split}$$

• Factorization:



 $C(k,q,q',\mu)=H(-q^2,\mu)H(-q'^2,\mu)\mathcal{J}(-k^2,\mu)+\hat{O}(\eta^0),$ where $\mathcal{J}\sim\eta^{-1}$ and $H,H'\sim\eta^0$

• H is hard matching coefficient of Sudakov form factor. \mathcal{J} is uncut jet function, i.e. quark propagator in axial gauge.

Evolution equations

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} H(Q^2, \mu^2) = \left[\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s(\mu)) \log \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} + \gamma_H(\alpha_s(\mu)) \right] H(Q^2, \mu^2)$$
$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \mathcal{J}(-k^2, \mu) = \left[-2\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s(\mu)) \log \frac{-\hat{s}}{\mu^2} - 2\gamma_{\mathcal{J}}(\alpha_s(\mu)) \right] \mathcal{J}(-k^2, \mu)$$

 ${\, \bullet \, }$ Solve evolution equations for H and ${\mathcal J}$ to get

$$C(q^{2}, q'^{2}, k^{2}, \mu) = H(-q^{2}, \mu_{h})H(-q^{2}, \mu'_{h})\mathcal{J}(-k^{2}, \mu_{i})$$
$$\times U_{H}(q^{2}, \mu_{h})U_{H}(q'^{2}, \mu'_{h}) \underbrace{U_{\mathcal{J}}(k^{2}, \mu_{i})}_{U_{\mathcal{J}}(k^{2}, \mu_{i})}$$

threshold logs resummed here

• $\mu_h, \mu'_h \sim Q$ but $\mu_i \sim ?$

- μ_i should be choosen such that logs are minimized, so an obvious choice is $\mu_i \sim \eta Q$. Problem: η depends on the loop momentum variable x
- The resummed result depends on $\alpha_s(\mu_i)$. Need to provide analytic continuation of α_s into the complex plane.
- Integrating above or below the Landau pole in the complex x-plane gives different results. This ambiguity is power-suppressed $\sim (\Lambda_{\rm QCD}/Q)^p$.
- The Landau pole can be avoided by choosing a fixed μ_i (i.e. independent of x). But what value?

• Take imaginary part of the amplitude

$$J(k^2, \mu) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \mathcal{J}(-k^2 - i0, \mu).$$

Supported for $k^2 > 0$ or equivalently $x > x_B$.

• Get factorization formula for DIS as $x_B \rightarrow 1$

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} T^{\text{forward}} = (H(Q^2, \mu))^2 Q^2 \int_{x_B}^1 \frac{dx}{x_B} J(k^2, \mu) q(x, \mu) + \hat{O}((1 - x_B)q(x_B, \mu))$$

- Well-known, usually used in moment space: [Sterman, 1987], [Catani, Trentadue, 1989], [Korchemsky, Marchesini, 1993]. Momentum space using SCET: [Becher, Neubert, Pecjak, 2007].
- Integration is limited to $[x_B, 1] \Rightarrow$ the expansion in η corresponds to an expansion in $1 x_B \Rightarrow$ Threshold resummation is relevant for the endpoint region $x_B \rightarrow 1$ in DIS.
- The fixed scale choice $\mu_i = \sqrt{1 x_B} Q$ makes sense for the imaginary part of the amplitude. What about the real part?

- Consider now DVCS, where $q'^2 = 0$ or equivalently $x_B = \xi$. The threshold region $x \sim x_B$ then coincides with the soft parton region $x \sim \xi$.
- Factorization of the coefficient function:



$$C(k,q,\mu) = H(-q^2,\mu)\mathcal{I}(-k^2,\mu) + \hat{O}(\eta)$$

- This factorization has been confirmed at two-loop accuracy.
- ${\cal I}=\langle \hat{p}'|T(\bar{\psi}W_n)j_{\rm em}^\mu|0\rangle$ is a new function. It has the standard double log evolution

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \mathcal{I}(-k^2, \mu) = \left[-\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s(\mu)) \log \frac{-k^2}{\mu^2} - \gamma_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha_s(\mu)) \right] \mathcal{I}(-k^2, \mu)$$

- A subtelty: CF has pole $\frac{1}{x-\xi}$ and GPD has discontinuous derivative at $x = \xi$. Fortunately, it is continuous.
- \bullet Can write GPD for x>0 as

$$F(x,\xi) = \Theta(x-\xi) \underbrace{F_{x>\xi}(x,\xi)}_{\text{analytic}} + \Theta(\xi-x) \underbrace{F_{x<\xi}(x,\xi)}_{\text{analytic}}$$

and then

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^1 dx \ C(x/(\xi-i0))F(x,\xi) \\ &= \underbrace{\int_0^1 dx \ C(x/(\xi-i0))F_{x<\xi}(x,\xi)}_{\text{deform contour here}} + \int_{\xi}^1 dx \ C(x/\xi) \underbrace{\left(F_{x>\xi}(x,\xi) - F_{\xi< x}(x,\xi)\right)}_{\text{gives additional suppression at } x=\xi} \end{split}$$

- Get analytic term where contour deformation can be performed and term that is suppressed at least linearly at $x = \xi$, similar to soft-end suppression for distribution amplitudes.
- Pole at $x = \xi$ moves to the endpoint as $\xi \to 1$. Integral still convergent since F vanishes power-like as $x \to 1$.

- Similarly, we can avoid the Landau pole by contour deformation.
- For this we have to choose an analytic continuation of the running coupling into the complex plane.

$$\alpha_s(\sqrt{-k^2}) = \frac{\alpha_s(Q)}{r} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\alpha_s(Q)}{4\pi r} \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} \log r + \left(\frac{\alpha_s(Q)}{4\pi r}\right)^2 \times \left[\frac{\beta_1^2}{\beta_0^2} \left(\log^2 r - \log r - 1 + r \right) + \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0} (1 - r) \right] \right\} + O(\alpha_s(Q)^4)$$

where $r = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s(Q)}{4\pi} \beta_0 \log(-k^2/Q^2)$.

- \bullet Leading log Landau pole at $x=\xi+\underbrace{e^{-\frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s(Q)\beta_0}}}_{(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{Q})^2}$ never coincides with
 - $x = \xi$.

• Quark Compton form factor $\mathcal{H}^{ns} = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dx}{\xi} C_q(x/\xi) H_q(x,\xi)$ for simple model for the unpolarized GPD H_q



Gray: LO/NLL, Blue: NLO/NNLL, Brown: NNLO Straight lines: Fixed order, Dashed lines: with resummation $\mu_i = \eta Q, \mu = \mu_h = Q.$

- Small corrections at small ξ . Substantial corrections at moderately large ξ . Non-zero imaginary part at $\xi \to 1$ due to Landau pole.
- In DVCS the maximum value of ξ is determined by t, by the inequality $\xi \leq \sqrt{\frac{-t}{-t+4m^2}} \Rightarrow$ corrections are only relevant at large t.

Thank you!