#### NREC Workshop 2024

# Improved nuclear structure effects in helium and muonic helium atoms

#### Simone Salvatore Li Muli

Chalmers University of Technology



### **Muonic atoms**



Can be used as precision probes for nuclear physics

### The proton radius



**Muonic Hydrogen** - Pohl et al., Nature (2010) - Antognini et al., Science (2013)

Muonic Deuterium - Pohl et al., Science (2016)

Muonic Helium isotopes - Krauth et al., Nature (2021) - Schuhmann et al., Arxiv (2023)



### $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{LS}} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{QED}} + \mathbf{C}r_c^2 + \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{TPE}} + \dots$

 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{LS}} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{QED}} + \mathbf{C}r_c^2 + \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{TPE}} + \dots$ 



 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{LS}} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{QED}} + \mathbf{C}r_c^2 + \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{TPE}} + \dots$ 



$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{LS}} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{QED}} + \mathbf{C}r_c^2 + \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{TPE}} + \dots$$



 $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{LS}} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{QED}} + \mathbf{C}r_c^2 + \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{TPE}} + \dots$ 



 $E_{\rm LS} = E_{\rm QED} + Cr_c^2 + E_{\rm TPE} + \dots$ 









• Our strategy is to build models for the operators from first principles

 $\mathrm{H} \ \{
ho_{\mathrm{ch}}, J_i, B_{ij}\}$ 

from chiral effective field theory

• Solve the many-body time-independent Schrödinger equation of the nucleus

 $H\left|N\right\rangle = E_{N}\left|N\right\rangle$ 

• Calculate the relevant matrix elements with controlled approximations.

 $\langle \mathrm{N} | \rho_{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbf{x}) | 0 \rangle$ 

#### Nuclear Hamiltonians from ChEFT



• Degrees of freedom

- Symmetries
- Power counting

### **Bayesian uncertainty quantification**

ChEFT is an expansion in powers of  $\ Q=rac{m_\pi}{\Lambda_\chi}\sim 0.3$ 

We assume that a similar expansion holds also for the calculated observables

$$X = \sum_{n=0}^{k} D_n + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} D_n$$
$$= X_{\text{ref}} \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{k} c_n Q^n + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} c_n Q^n \right]$$

We assume that the expansion coefficients follow the same underlying distribution and use the calculated coefficients to learn about the distribution.

#### Helium isotopes charge radii

$$E_{LS} = E_{QED} + Cr_c^2 + E_{TPE} + E_{3PE} + \dots$$



#### Helium isotopes charge radii

$$E_{\rm LS} = E_{\rm QED} + Cr_c^2 + E_{\rm TPE} + E_{\rm 3PE} + \dots$$

SSLM, Thomas R. Richardson, Sonia Bacca, Arxiv:2401.13424



#### Isotope shift of muonic Helium



### Exploiting correlations

$$\Delta \left[ E_{\rm TPE}(^{4}{\rm He}) - E_{\rm TPE}(^{3}{\rm He}) \right] = \sqrt{\Delta E_{\rm TPE}^{2}(^{4}{\rm He}) + \Delta E_{\rm TPE}^{2}(^{3}{\rm He}) - 2\rho_{12}\Delta E_{\rm TPE}(^{3}{\rm He})\Delta E_{\rm TPE}(^{4}{\rm He})}$$

Is obtained from the Bayesian analysis

Are obtained from the Bayesian analysis

- We can extract the correlation coefficient
- $\rho_{12} \approx 0.8$
- We assume that the remaining nuclear structure effects (3photon-exchange, etc...) are correlated with the same correlation coefficient.
- The inclusion of correlations significantly reduces the uncertainties in the isotope-shift theory.

#### Isotope shift of muonic Helium



#### Isotope shift of muonic Helium



SSLM, Thomas R. Richardson, Sonia Bacca, Arxiv:2401.13424

### The future pipeline



A. Antognini et al, Arxiv:2210.16929

### The future pipeline

#### In collaboration with scientists at Chalmers and ORNL



	2N force	3N force	4N force
LO	$\times$ +-+		
NLO	×です 文章		
N2LO		++++X ×	
N3LO	文 ま ま ま	₄↓   ≯+   ≮X	+ 1     1×1

**Christian Forssén** 



Tor Djärv



Bijaya Acharya





## Backup

### Evaluation of the TPE term



The amplitudes define a complex potential that shift the 2S-energy of the bound muon by

$$\Delta \mathcal{E}_{2S} = \langle \phi_{2S} | \operatorname{Re}[i\mathcal{M}] | \phi_{2S} \rangle$$

With  $|\phi_{2S}\rangle$  being the 2S-state of the muon. At our level of precision there are no corrections to 2P-states.

### Evaluation of the TPE term

$$\begin{split} \Delta E_{2\mathrm{S}} &= -8\alpha^2 m \ \phi_{2\mathrm{S}}^2 \Biggl\{ \sum_{N \neq 0} \int d^3 x \ d^3 y \ \left\langle 0 \right| \rho_{\mathrm{ch}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) \left| N \right\rangle \left\langle N \right| \rho_{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbf{x}) \left| 0 \right\rangle \ \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{N}}(z) \\ &+ \sum_{N \neq 0} \int d^3 x \ d^3 y \ \left\langle 0 \right| J_i^{\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) \left| N \right\rangle \left\langle N \right| J_j(\mathbf{x}) \left| 0 \right\rangle \left[ \delta_{ij} J_N(z) + z^i z^j \bar{J}_N(z) \right] \\ &+ \int d^3 x \ d^3 y \ B^{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \ \frac{1}{2} \Big[ \delta_{ij} K(z) + z_i z_j \bar{K}(z) \Big] \Biggr\} . \end{split}$$

With  $z = |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|$ .

- The five structure functions are known by calculating the leptonic part of the Feynman diagrams.
- The nuclear matrix elements must be calculated numerically from Nuclear Theory.

#### **TPE corrections in muonic Helium**



C. Ji, et al. J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 45 (2018)

27

### **Benchmark tests**

S.S. LM, S. Bacca, N. Barnea, Front. Phys. 9, 671869 (2021)



### **Benchmark tests**



### A matter of precision

$$\delta_{\rm LS} = \delta_{\rm QED+NR} + \delta_{\rm FS}^{(4)} \times r_c^2 + \delta_{\rm TPE}^{(5)} + \delta_{\rm 3PE}^{(6)} + \dots$$

#### For the muonic Helium-3 ion

$$\delta_{\text{QED+NR}} = +1,644.348(8) \text{ meV}$$
  

$$\delta_{\text{FS}}^{(4)} = -103.383 \text{ meV fm}^{-2}$$
  

$$\delta_{\text{TPE}}^{(5)} = +15.499(378) \text{ meV}$$
  

$$\delta_{3\text{PE}}^{(6)} = -0.214(214) \text{ meV}$$
  

$$\delta_{\text{HO}}^{(5)} = -0.667(25) \text{ meV}$$

$$r_c = 1.97007(12)_{\rm ex}(93)_{\rm th}$$
 fm



K. Schuhmann et. al. Arxiv 2305.11679 (2023)

### **Evaluation of the NS effects**



$$\begin{split} \Delta E_{nl} &= -8\alpha^2 m \ \phi_{nL}^2 \int \frac{d^3 q}{4\pi} \left\{ \sum_{N \neq 0} |\langle N| \rho_{\rm ch}(\mathbf{q}) |0 \rangle|^2 \frac{(2E_q + \omega_N)}{q^4 E_q [(E_q + \omega_N)^2 - m^2]} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{N \neq 0} |\langle 0| \mathbf{J}_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}) |N \rangle|^2 \left[ \frac{q^2}{4m^2} \frac{(2E_q + \omega_N)}{q^4 E_q [(E_q + \omega_N)^2 - m^2]} - \frac{1}{4m^2 q^3} \frac{\omega_N + 2q}{(\omega_N + q)^2} \right] \\ &+ \left. \left. + B_{\perp}(\mathbf{q}) \frac{1}{8m^2 q^2} \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{E_q} \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

### eta-expansion uncertainty

$$\begin{split} \Delta E_{nl}^{\mathrm{NR}} &= -8\alpha^2 \ \phi_{nl}^2 \sum_{N \neq 0} \int d^3x \ d^3y \ \langle 0 | \ \rho_{\mathrm{ch}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) | N \rangle \ \langle N | \ \rho_{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbf{x}) | 0 \rangle \ \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{NR}}(z) \\ &= -8\alpha^2 \ \phi_{nl}^2 \sum_{N \neq 0} \int d^3x \ d^3y \ \langle 0 | \ \rho_{\mathrm{ch}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) | N \rangle \ \langle N | \ \rho_{\mathrm{ch}}(\mathbf{x}) | 0 \rangle \ \left( \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{NR}}^{(2)}(z) + \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{NR}}^{(3)}(z) + \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{NR}}^{(4)}(z) + .. \right) \end{split}$$



S.S.LM, et al. 2022 J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 49 105101

	$\mu^2 \mathrm{H}$	$\mu^{3}$ H	$ \mu^3 \text{He}^+ $	$ \mu^4 \text{He}^+$
C. Ji, et al. (2018)	0.4%	1.3%	1.1%	0.8%
This work	0.8%	1.5%	4.8%	0.9%

32

### Uncertainty budget in TPE

	$\mu^{3}$ He <sup>+</sup>			$\mu^4 \text{He}^+$			
	$\delta_{\text{pol}}$	$\delta_{\text{Zem}}$	$\delta_{\text{TPE}}$	$\delta_{\text{pol}}$	$\delta_{\text{Zem}}$	$\delta_{\text{TPE}}$	
	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	
							S.S.LM, et al. In preparation for 2023
Numerical [1]	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.4	
Numerical	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.2	
Nuclear model <b>[1]</b>	0.7	1.8	1.5	3.9	4.6	4.4	
Nuclear model (N2LO)	4.8	6.9	6.2	14.5	9.4	11	
Nuclear model (N3LO)	1.6	1.6	1.4	4.1	2.8	3	
η-expansion <b>[1]</b>	1.1	_	0.3	0.8	_	0.2	[1] C. Ji, et al. J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 45 (2018)
$\eta$ -expansion	4.8	-	1.4	0.9	-	0.2	
Ζα [1]	1.5	0.0	0.4	1.5	0.0	0.4	
Ζα	-	-	-	-	-	-	
ISB <b>[1]</b>	1.8	0.2	0.5	2.2	0.5	0.5	
Nucleon-size [1]	1.2	1.3	0.9	2.7	2.0	1.2	
Relativistic [1]	0.4	_	0.1	0.1	_	0.0	
Coulomb [1]	3.0	-	0.9	0.4	-	0.1	
Total <b>[1]</b>	4.2	2.2	2.1	5.5	5.1	4.6	
Total (N2LO)	7.6	6.9	6.5	10.6	9.6	10.9	
Total (N3LO)	6.3	2.1	2.4	5.3	3.5	3.5	33

### Preliminary works on Li atoms

$$\delta_{ ext{TPE}} = \delta_{ ext{D1}}^{(0)} + \delta_{ ext{C}}^{(0)} + \delta_{ ext{Z1}}^{(1)} + \delta_{ ext{Z3}}^{(1)} + \delta_{ ext{NS}}^{(2)} + \delta_{ ext{Q}}^{(2)} + \dots$$

δ <sub>tpe</sub>	Ref. <b>(1) (meV)</b>	Ref. <b>(2) (meV)</b>		
μ- <sup>6</sup> Li <sup>2+</sup>	-11.8(3)	-15(4)		
μ- <sup>7</sup> Li <sup>2+</sup>	-22.2(4)	-21(4)		

(1) S.L., A.Poggialini, S.Bacca, SciPost Phys. Proc. 3, 028 (2020)
(2) Drake et al, Phys. Rev. A 32, 713 (1985)



### **Priors**



Priors	$\operatorname{pr}(\eta)$	Priors	$\operatorname{pr}(c_i \bar{c})$	$\operatorname{pr}(\overline{c})$
$\alpha_{\eta}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda} \exp\left(\frac{\eta}{\lambda}\right)$	A	$\frac{1}{2\bar{c}}\theta(\bar{c}- c_i )$	$\frac{1}{\ln(\bar{c}_{>}/\bar{c}_{<})\bar{c}}\theta(\bar{c}-\bar{c}_{<})\theta(\bar{c}_{>}-\bar{c})$
$eta_\eta$	eta(a,b)	В	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\bar{c}} \exp\left(-\frac{c_i^2}{2\bar{c}^2}\right)$	$\frac{1}{\ln(\bar{c}_{>}/\bar{c}_{<})\bar{c}}\theta(\bar{c}-\bar{c}_{<})\theta(\bar{c}_{>}-\bar{c})$

### NS effects in 3He+ and 4He+



### NS corrections in µ4He+

S.S.LM, et al. In preparation for 2022

