

## **Recent ALICE results on** cold nuclear matter effects



Minjung Kim<sup>1,2,3</sup> <sup>1</sup>LBNL, <sup>2</sup>UC Berkeley, <sup>3</sup>CFNS (Stony Brook U.)

**Cold Nuclear Matter Effects: From the LHC to the EIC** 13. Jan. 2025 - 16. Jan. 2025 **Stony Brook** 

### Hard probes in heavy-ion collisions



ALI-PUB-583519

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 

- High momentum-transfer (high-Q2) production:



Based on considerations of the uncertainty principle and QCD factorisation, hard process expected to occur at the earliest stage of a heavy-ion collision, prior to equilibration of the QGP



### Hard probes in heavy-ion collisions



ALI-PUB-588636

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 



Probe in medium energy loss mechanisms to characterize the QGP medium

**p–A collisions** 



Study cold nuclear matter (CNM) effects: i.e. nuclear modification of parton distribution functions, saturation in the colour Glass Condensate (CGC) approach, multiple scattering and energy loss, breakup by comovers ...





### Hard probes in heavy-ion collisions



ALI-PUB-588636

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 



Probe in medium energy loss mechanisms to characterize the QGP medium

**p–A collisions** 



Study cold nuclear matter (CNM) effects: i.e. nuclear modification of parton distribution functions, saturation in the colour Glass Condensate (CGC) approach, multiple scattering and energy loss, breakup by comovers ...

Caveat: can we simply conclude

- ➡CNM effect is negligible
- Deviation from unity in Pb–Pb expected to be due to hot nuclear effects as R<sub>pA</sub> is consistent with 1?







## **ALICE experiment in Run 2**

- Central Barrel: Charged particle down to 150 MeV with particle identification:
  - Heavy flavour hadrons (charm and beauty separation)
  - Quarknoium via e+e-
  - Charged jets
- Muon System: Separate trigger mode; larger data sample w.r.t. central barrel minimum bias events
  - Heavy flavour and W/Z boson decay muon
  - Quarknoium via  $\mu^+\mu^-$





**Cold Nuclear Matter Effects: From the LHC to the EIC** 13. Jan. 2025 - 16. Jan. 2025

#### **ALICE experiment in Run 2**



**Cold Nuclear Matter Effects: From the LHC to the EIC** 13. Jan. 2025 - 16. Jan. 2025

## Charged jet R<sub>pA</sub>

#### ALICE Collaboration, JHEP 05 (2024) 041 $R_{ m pPb}^{ m ch\,jet}$ ALICE, p–Pb $\sqrt{s_{_{NN}}}$ = 5.02 TeV, $\Delta y$ = 0.465 1.8 Charged-particle jets, anti- $k_{\rm T}$ , R = 0.2, $|\eta_{\rm int}| < 0.5$ R = 0.3 $|\eta_{\text{track}}| < 0.9$ 1.6 $p_{\rm T, track} > 0.15 \, {\rm GeV}/c$ -1.4 0.8 Data 0.6 Correlated uncertainty 0.4 Shape uncertainty 0.2 60 80 100 120 140 40 20 120 140 20 60 80 $p_{\rm T\,iet}^{\rm ch} ({\rm GeV}/c)$ $p_{\rm T\,iet}^{\rm ch}$ (GeV/c) ALI-PUB-574932

- smaller than the current precision
- Different sets of nPDF provides compatible calculations, describing measured data
- Similar conclusion obtained from inclusive b-jet

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 



• R<sub>pA</sub> consistent with unity: possible influence of cold nuclear matter effects on the cross section is

R<sub>pA</sub> independent from resolution parameter (R); NNLO correction expected to have R-dependence





### **Open charm hadrons R<sub>pA</sub>**



• The models with different CNM effects qualitatively described  $R_{pA}$  of average D meson vs.  $p_T$ 

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 



•  $p_{T-I}$  Integrated  $R_{pA}$  of open charm meson and baryon, as well as cc-bar consistent with unity and with theoretical predictions including nuclear modifications of the parton distribution functions



## (Indirect) open beauty hadrons R<sub>pA</sub>



- $p_{T-I}$  Integrated  $R_{pA}$  of open charm meson and baryon, as well as cc-bar consistent with unity and

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 

#### ALICE Collaboration, JHEP 07 (2023) 137



ALI-PUB-561251

with theoretical predictions including nuclear modifications of the parton distribution functions

#### • The models with different CNM effects qualitatively described $R_{pA}$ of average D meson vs. $p_T$



## $J/\psi R_{pA}$ in p-Pb collisions

#### ALICE Collaboration, JHEP 07 (2023) 137



ALI-PUB-561226

#### Influence of rapidity dependent CNM effects; compatible with models including nPDF effects • Suppression concentrated at low $p_T$ ; captured by models including nPDF effects as well as

# transport model

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 



ALI-PUB-561246

### **Excited charmonium states in p-Pb collisions**

#### ALICE Collaboration, JHEP 07 (2020) 237



- Stronger suppression of  $\psi$ (2S) at backward rapidity; compatible at forward rapidity
- resonance  $\rightarrow$  final-state effects? If so, initiated from what?



Initial-state effects or coherent energy loss; largely independent on the specific charmonium

### **Closer look at open charm hadrons R<sub>pA</sub>**



- D-meson  $R_{pPb}$  is compatible with unity and compared to model predictions including CNM effects • Both  $\Lambda_c^+$  and  $\Xi_c^0 R_{pPb}$  are compatible within uncertainties
  - Models reasonably describing D-meson underestimate the data (only  $\Lambda_c^+ R_{pPb}$  is described below 2 GeV/c) • Models including QGP effects also capture the trend as a function of  $p_{T}$

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 

### Lesson from $\Lambda_c/D^0$ ratio

#### ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 108, 112003



- Ratio of  $p_{T}$ -differential production cross section of baryon and meson
  - Charm, and strange hadrons have a similar trend and are compatible within uncertainties
- Similar trend of  $\Lambda_c^+/D^0$  in both pp and p–Pb collisions

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 

• Different momentum redistribution; Shift towards higher pT in p-Pb collisions attributed to radial flow?



### Quarkonium elliptic flow in small system



•  $J/\psi$  : PbPb  $v_2 \ge pPb v_2 > pp v_2 \approx 0$ 

Non-zero J/ψ v<sub>2</sub> in high multiplicity p-Pb collisions, underpredicted by theory including final-state collectivity at intermediate p<sub>T</sub>

Minjung Kim UC Berkeley

#### Rapp et al., JHEP 03 (2019) 015





### Quarkonium elliptic flow in small system



Numerous unexpected results in small systems at the LHC Not simple reference/control experiment for Pb-Pb collisions Probing cold nuclear matter effects or initial state effects in different ways?

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 

#### Rapp et al., JHEP 03 (2019) 015



**Cold Nuclear Matter Effects: From the LHC to the EIC** 13. Jan. 2025 - 16. Jan. 2025



#### **Electroweak vector-boson production in heavy ion collisions**

- Produced predominantly via a quark antiquark pair annihilation (Drell-Yan); Sensitive to parton distribution functions for up and down quarks
- Weakly interacting particles; Decay leptons insensitive to the strongly-interacting medium

ALICE Collaboration, JHEP 2009 (2020) 076



#### • Direct access of nPDF effects in Pb-Pb collisions and quantify the nPDF through p-Pb collisions

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 

ALI-PUB-584107

**Cold Nuclear Matter Effects: From the LHC to the EIC** 13. Jan. 2025 - 16. Jan. 2025







### **Connection to bulk properties in Pb-Pb collisions?**



- around  $1/4\pi$
- number of particles produced in the final state
- Access to degrees of freedom from a initial state (Caveat: Strong model dependent studies)

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 

Entropy is approximately conserved through the evolution of the QGP using hydrodynamic simulations for n/s values

The number of degrees of freedom in the initial state (or initial state entropy) should therefore be proportional to the





### Imaging the nucleus with photoproduced $J/\psi$



- be lumpy structure than smooth nucleons

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 

• Large-It Incoherent production: photon interacts with single nucleon/subnucleonic structure Slope better described by models including subnucleonic degree of freedom; target likely to

## Energy dependence of $J/\psi$ photoproduction



- better in higher-x region
- Models based on saturation as well as shadowing picture describe the measurement well

Minjung Kim **UC Berkeley** 

No single model describes measured cross section in full range of center of mass energy (Bjorken x) ower-x region better described with models including saturation while Glauber calculation works.

#### ALICE experiment in Run 4 (scheduled 2031~): FOCAL

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

**UC Berkeley** 

13. Jan. 2025 - 16. Jan. 2025

### Energy dependence of $J/\psi$ photoproduction

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

- $J/\psi$  rapidity coverage expected to be gluon dominated in NLO calculation

#### Extend kinematic coverage down to lower x is foreseen in Run 4 with ALICE FoCAL

### Summary and outlook

- p-Pb collisions as control experiment for quantifying the possible CNM effects
  - Numerous observables, so-called hard probes of QGP
  - Production cross sections well agreed with nPDF based calculations but many results challenge the models only with initial state effects; unexpected collective-like behavior, suppression pattern of quarkonium, .....
  - Yet, the measurements not so much preferred the models including QGP effects in the market
- Explore different observables other than traditional hard probes of QGP to disentangle the initial state effects; modification of nuclear PDF, initial geometry fluctuation,....
- ALICE Run3 and Run4:
  - Increasing luminosity with better spatial resolution of tracking
  - O-O, p-Pb runs scheduled already in Run 3
  - Extended kinematic range (both Lower-x and higher-x region) expected with FoCAL in Run 4

![](_page_21_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)