# Current analysis on extracting $\alpha_{s}$ from Spin Structure functions at ePIC



- Win Lin
- **Stony Brook University**
- Extracting the Strong Coupling at the EIC and other Future Colliders 05/03/2025



**Center for Frontiers** in Nuclear Science



# Analysis with updated detector design











### Analysis with different event generators



#### CLASDIS

- *ep* polarized DIS for fixed target
   experiment
- Spectators were generate
   separately then re-weighted for
   nuclear effect
- Used DJANGOH for radiative effect



https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.110.074004

#### DJANGOH

- *ep* polarized DIS with QED and QCD radiative effect
- For  $e^3$ He, spectators were also

generated separately



https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136726





 $A_1^p$  from ep DIS:

$$A_1(x, Q^2) \equiv \frac{\sigma_{1/2} - \sigma_{3/2}}{\sigma_{1/2} + \sigma_{3/2}} = \frac{A_{\parallel}}{D(1 + \eta\xi)} - \frac{\eta A_{\perp}}{d(1 + \eta\xi)}$$

- 
$$\mathscr{L} = 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$$
,  $P_e = P_n = 70\%$ 

– Data split evenly between  $A_{\parallel}$  and  $A_{\perp}$ 

$$\delta A_{\parallel,\perp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{NP_eP_N}}$$

- Bin  $A_1^n$  calculated from: Doi: 10.2172/824895
- Statistical uncertainty only, correction not yet applied



















|  | 12 ( <i>X</i> <sup><i>B</i></sup> ) | ► $x_B = 0.0001$   | <i>ECCE</i> Simulation DJANGOH <i>ep</i> , 10 fb <sup>-1</sup> |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|  | 3 × log                             | $x_B = 0.0002$   | <ul> <li>∮ 5x41 GeV</li> <li>∮ 10x100 GeV</li> </ul>           |  |
|  | ן<br>ק <sup>ר</sup> 10<br>ע         | $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow x_B = 0.0005$ | ▶ 18x275 GeV   |  |
|  |                                     | $x_{B} = 0.0008$   |  |  |

| Species       | Energy (GeV)         | Luminosity/year<br>(fb-1) | Electron polarization | p/A polariz        |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| e+Ru or e+Cu  | 10 x 115             | 0.9                       | NO<br>(Commissioning) | N/A                |
| e+D<br>e+p    | 10 x 130             | 11.4<br>4.95 - 5.33       | LONG                  | NO<br>TRANS        |
| e+p           | 10 x 130             | 4.95 - 5.33               | LONG                  | TRANS and/o        |
| e+Au<br>e+p   | 10 x 100<br>10 x 250 | 0.84<br>6.19 - 9.18       | LONG                  | N/A<br>TRANS and/o |
| e+Au<br>e+3He | 10 x 100<br>10 x 166 | 0.84<br>8.65              | LONG                  | N/A<br>TRANS and/o |



### $A_1^n$ from $e^3$ He DIS:



 $A_1^n$  can be extracted indirectly via  $A_1^{^{3}\text{He}}$ :

$$A_1^n = \frac{1}{P_n} \frac{F_2^{^{3}\text{He}}}{F_2^n} (A_1^{^{3}\text{He}} - 2P_p \frac{F_2^p}{F_2^{^{3}\text{He}}} A_1^p)$$



 $P_p = -0.028 \pm 0.004$ 





### $A_1^n$ from $e^3$ He DIS:



 $A_1^n$  can be extracted indirectly via  $A_1^{^{3}\text{He}}$ :

$$A_1^n = \frac{1}{P_n} \frac{F_2^{^{3}\text{He}}}{F_2^n} (A_1^{^{3}\text{He}} - 2P_p \frac{F_2^p}{F_2^{^{3}\text{He}}} A_1^p)$$





| ~                 |
|-------------------|
| ()                |
| $\mathbf{M}$      |
| $\mathbf{\nabla}$ |
|                   |

|     | Ē    | 200 |
|-----|------|-----|
| 600 | y [n | 150 |
| 00  |      | 100 |
| 200 |      | 50  |

#### $A_1^n$ from $e^3$ He DIS:

$$A_1(x,Q^2) \equiv \frac{\sigma_{1/2} - \sigma_{3/2}}{\sigma_{1/2} + \sigma_{3/2}} = \frac{A_{\parallel}}{D(1 + \eta\xi)} - \frac{\eta A_{\perp}}{d(1 + \eta\xi)}$$

- 
$$\mathscr{L} = 8.65 \text{ fb}^{-1}, P_e = P_n = 70\%$$

– Data split evenly between  $A_{\parallel}$  and  $A_{\perp}$ 

$$\delta A_{\parallel,\perp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{NP_eP_N}}$$

$$A_1^{^{3}\text{He}} = P_n \frac{F_2^n}{F_2^{^{3}\text{He}}} A_1^n + 2P_p \frac{F_2^p}{F_2^{^{3}\text{He}}} A_1^p$$

- Bin  $A_1^n$  calculated from: Doi: 10.2172/824895
- $F_2^{^3\text{He}} = F_2^D + F_2^p$ , all  $F_2$ 's are taken from <u>JAM22</u>
- Correction not yet applied



#### 10

#### $A_1^n$ in early EIC science

$$A_1(x,Q^2) \equiv \frac{\sigma_{1/2} - \sigma_{3/2}}{\sigma_{1/2} + \sigma_{3/2}} = \frac{A_{\parallel}}{D(1 + \eta\xi)} - \frac{\eta A_{\perp}}{d(1 + \eta\xi)}$$

- 
$$\mathscr{L} = 8.65 \text{ fb}^{-1}, P_e = P_n = 70\%$$

– Data split evenly between  $A_{\parallel}$  and  $A_{\perp}$ 

$$\delta A_{\parallel,\perp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}P_e P_N}$$

- Bin  $A_1$  calculated from: Doi: 10.2172/824895
- Statistical uncertainty only, correction not yet applied



#### 11

- $A_1 \approx g_1/F_1$  with  $F_1$  calculated from <u>JAM22</u>
- Statistical +  $F_1$  uncertainties. Other uncertainties and corrections are not yet applied



![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

## $g_1^p$ and $g_1^n$

- $A_1 \approx g_1/F_1$  with  $F_1$  calculated from <u>JAM22</u>
- Statistical +  $F_1$  uncertainties. Other uncertainties and corrections are not yet applied

$$\Gamma_{1}^{p-n} \equiv \int_{0}^{1^{-}} (g_{1}^{p} - g_{1}^{n}) dx$$

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136726

![](_page_12_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Thank you!

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136726

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)