Dimensional Analysis

2025 CFNS-SURGE School 2 June 2025 Fred Olness



x = meters
m = kilograms
$k = Kg/sec^2$
Period: (sec)
Т=

Simple Harmonic Oscillations (SHO)





Pythagorean Theorem

Ν

GOAL: Pythagorean Theorem

METHOD: Dimensional Analysis

$$\theta \qquad \mathbf{C} \qquad A_c = c^2 f(\theta, \phi)$$

b

$$A_a + A_b =$$

 $a^2 f(\theta, \phi) + b^2 f(\theta, \phi)$
a

$$A_a + A_b = A_c$$

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2$$

Scaling





We found the Higgs

Inclusive Deeply Inelastic Scattering (DIS)

a-particles

Metal Foil



Measure $\{E_2, \theta\} \Leftrightarrow \{x, Q^2\}$ Inclusive

Deep: $Q^2 \ge 1 GeV^2$

Inelastic: $W^2 \ge M_p^2$

Analogue of Rutherford scattering



Small distance ~ High Energy



Going to smaller scale, we get to simpler, more fundamental objects



Scaling

 $A_{c} = c^{2} f(\theta, \phi)$



Scale: The Universal Laws of Growth, Innovation, Sustainability, and the Pace of Life in Organisms, Cities, Economies, and Companies. By Geoffrey West

The Reynolds number is defined as:^[6]

$${
m Re}=rac{uL}{
u}=rac{
ho uL}{\mu}$$

where:

- ρ is the density of the fluid (SI units: kg/m³)
- *u* is the flow speed (m/s)
- L is a characteristic length (m)
- μ is the dynamic viscosity of the fluid (Pa·s or N·s/m² or kg/(m·s))

Fluid

Flow

• v is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid (m²/s).





9.5

9.0

8.5

ette la citie

FIG. 3

PATENTS

13

The Scaling of the Proton Structure Function



Dimensional Analysis, multi-scale problems

where to the logs come from

 $A_{c} = c^{2} f(\theta, \phi)$

CTEQ HandBook Rev.Mod.Phys. 67 (1995) 157-248

$$\begin{split} \sigma(\mathcal{Q}^{2}) &= \sigma_{0} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_{i}(\mathcal{Q}^{2})}{4\pi} (3C_{F}) + \left[\frac{\alpha_{i}(\mathcal{Q}^{2})}{4\pi} \right]^{2} \left[-C_{F}^{2} \left[\frac{3}{2} \right] + C_{F}C_{A} \left[\frac{123}{2} - 44\zeta(3) \right] + C_{F}Tn_{f}(-22 + 16\zeta(3)) \right] \\ &+ \left[\frac{\alpha_{i}(\mathcal{Q}^{2})}{4\pi} \right]^{3} \left[C_{F}^{3} \left[-\frac{69}{2} \right] + C_{F}^{2}C_{A}(-127 - 572\zeta(3) + 880\zeta(5)) \\ &+ C_{F}C_{A}^{2} \left[\frac{90445}{54} - \frac{10948}{9} \zeta(3) + \frac{440}{3} \zeta(5) \right] \\ &+ C_{F}C_{A}^{2} \left[\frac{90445}{54} - \frac{10948}{9} \zeta(3) + \frac{440}{3} \zeta(5) \right] \\ &+ C_{F}C_{A}^{2} \left[\frac{4832}{27} - \frac{1216}{9} \zeta(3) - 320\zeta(5) \right] + C_{F}C_{A}Tn_{f} \left[-\frac{31040}{27} + \frac{7168}{9} \zeta(3) + \frac{160}{3} \zeta(5) \right] \\ &+ C_{F}T^{2}n_{f}^{2} \left[\frac{4832}{27} - \frac{1216}{9} \zeta(3) \right] - C_{F}\pi^{2} \left[\frac{11}{3}C_{A} - \frac{4}{3}Tn_{f} \right]^{2} + \frac{\left[\sum_{i}^{2} \mathcal{Q}_{i} \right]^{2}}{(N \sum \mathcal{Q}_{f}^{2})} \frac{D}{16} \left[\frac{176}{3} - 128\zeta(3) \right] \right] \right] \\ H_{w}^{(2), S+F}(x) &= \left[\frac{\alpha_{i}}{4\pi} \right]^{2} \delta(1-x) \left[C_{A}C_{F} \left[\frac{1w}{2} - 24\zeta(3) \right] \ln \left[\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] - 11 \ln^{2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] - \frac{12}{2}\zeta(2)^{2} + \frac{xy}{2}\zeta(2) + 28\zeta(3) - \frac{1xy}{12}} \right] \\ &+ C_{F}^{2} \left[18 - 32\zeta(2) \right] \ln^{2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] - 11 \ln^{2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] - \frac{12}{2}\zeta(2)^{2} + \frac{xy}{2}\zeta(2) + 28\zeta(3) - \frac{1xy}{12}} \right] \\ &+ C_{F}^{2} \left[18 - 32\zeta(2) \right] \ln^{2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left[24\zeta(2) + 176\zeta(3) - 93 \right] \ln \left[\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] \right] \\ &+ n_{f}C_{F} \left[2 \ln^{2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left[\frac{2}{3}\pi^{2} - 16\zeta(2) \right] \mathcal{D}_{0}(x) - \frac{13}{2}\pi^{2}} \mathcal{D}_{1}(x) \right] \\ &+ C_{A}C_{F} \left[-\frac{4\pi}{3}\mathcal{D}_{0}(x) \ln^{2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left[123\beta_{2}(2) - \frac{13}{2}\beta_{1}(2) - \frac{13}{2}\beta_{1}\beta_{0}(x)} \right] \\ &+ C_{A}^{2} \left[\left[64\omega_{1}(x) + 48\omega_{0}(x) \right] \ln^{2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left[192\omega_{1}(x) + 96\omega_{1}(x) - \frac{13}{2}\beta_{1}\beta_{0}(x) \right] \right] \\ &+ 128\omega_{1}(x) - (128\xi(2) + 256)\omega_{1}(x) + 256\zeta(3)\omega_{0}(x) \right] \\ &+ 128\omega_{1}(x) - (128\xi(2) + 256)\omega_{1}(x) + 256\zeta(3)\omega_{0}(x) \right] \\ &+ n_{f}C_{F} \left[\frac{\beta}{2}\omega_{0}(x) \ln^{2} \left[\frac{\mathcal{Q}^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left[\frac{3}{2}\omega_{1}(x) - \frac{3}{2}\omega_{0}(x) \right] \right] \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{2} \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \right] + \left[\frac{\beta}{2}\omega_{1}(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\omega_{0}(x) \right] \right] \\ &+ \frac{\beta}{2} \left[\frac{\alpha$$

Dimensional Regularization meets Freshman E&M

M. Hans, Am.J.Phys. 51 (8) August (1983). p.694 C. Kaufman, Am.J.Phys. 37 (5), May (1969) p.560 B. Delamotte, Am.J.Phys. 72 (2) February (2004) p.17

B. Delamotte, Am.J.Phys. 72 (2) February (2004) p.170

Regularization, Renormalization, and Dimensional Analysis: Dimensional Regularization meets Freshman E&M. Olness & Scalise, arXiv:0812.3578 [hep-ph]

Infinite Line of Charge





Scale Invariance



$$V(k x) =$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4 \pi \epsilon_0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy \frac{1}{\sqrt{(kx)^2 + y^2}}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4 \pi \epsilon_0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\left(\frac{y}{k}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + (y/k)^2}}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4 \pi \epsilon_0} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + z^2}}$$

$$= V(x)$$

$$V(kx) = V(x)$$

Naively Implies: V(k x) - V(x) = 0 Note: $\infty + \mathbf{c} = \infty$ $\therefore \qquad \infty - \infty = \mathbf{c}$

How do we distinguish this from

 $\infty - \infty = c + 17$

need to regulate

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{-L}^{+L} dy \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$

$$V(x) \text{ depends on artificial regulator L}$$

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \log \left[\frac{+L + \sqrt{L^2 + x^2}}{-L + \sqrt{L^2 + x^2}} \right]$$

$$We \text{ cannot remove the regulator L}$$

$$We cannot flog is dimensionless$$

All physical quantities are independent of the regulator:

Electric Field
$$E(x) = \frac{-dV}{dx} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 x} \frac{L}{\sqrt{L^2 + x^2}} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 x}$$

Energy $\delta V = V(x_1) - V(x_2) \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \log\left[\frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2}\right]$

Problem solved at the expense of an extra scale L <u>AND</u> we have a broken symmetry: translation invariance

Broken Translational Symmetry



Shift:
$$y \rightarrow y' = y - c$$

 $y=[+L+c, -L+c]$

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{-L+c}^{+L+c} dy \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}}$$

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \log \left[\frac{+(L+c) + \sqrt{(L+c)^2 + x^2}}{-(L-c) + \sqrt{(L-c)^2 + x^2}} \right]$$

V(r) depends on "y" coordinate!!!

In QFT, gauge symmetries are important. E.g., Ward identies

Regularization Method #2: Dimensional Regularization 22

Compute in n-dimensions

$$dy \rightarrow d^n y = \frac{d \Omega_n}{2} y^{n-1} dy$$

$$\Omega_n = \int d\Omega_n = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)}$$

$$\Omega_{1,2,3,4} = \{2, 2\pi, 4\pi, 2\pi^2\}$$



Why do we need an extra scale μ ???



$$dV = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{dQ}{r} \qquad \lambda = \frac{Q}{y}$$

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{\mu^{2\epsilon}}{x^{2\epsilon}} \frac{\Gamma[\epsilon]}{\pi^{\epsilon}} \right)$$

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \times f\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right)$$
potential
dimensionless
$$f(x/\mu)$$

2c - r - 1

J \ 77

Dimensional Regularization

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{\mu^{2\epsilon}}{x^{2\epsilon}} \frac{\Gamma[\epsilon]}{\pi^{\epsilon}} \right)$$

All physical quantities are independent of the regulators:

Electric Field
$$E(x) = \frac{-dV}{dx} = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[\frac{2\epsilon\mu^{2\epsilon}\Gamma[\epsilon]}{\pi^{\epsilon}x^{1+2\epsilon}} \right] \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{x}$$

Energy
$$\delta V = V(x_1) - V(x_2) \xrightarrow{\rightarrow} \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \log\left[\frac{x_2^2}{x_1^2}\right]$$

Problem solved at the expense of an extra scale μ <u>AND</u> regulator ϵ

Translation invariance is preserved!!!

Dimensional Regularization respects symmetries

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{\mu^{2\epsilon}}{x^{2\epsilon}} \frac{\Gamma[\epsilon]}{\pi^{\epsilon}} \right)$$

Expand in Taylor series in ε

 $V \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left| \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln \left[\frac{e^{-\gamma_E}}{1\pi} \right] + \ln \left[\frac{\mu^2}{x^2} \right] \right|$

The was the potential from our "Toy" calculation:

This is a partial result from a <u>real</u> NLO Drell-Yan Calculation: *Cf., B. Potter*

$$\frac{D(\epsilon)}{\epsilon} = \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{Q^2}\right) \frac{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)}{\Gamma(1-2\epsilon)} \rightarrow \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln\left[\frac{e^{-\gamma_E}}{4\pi}\right] + \ln\left[\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right]\right]$$

Renormalization

$$V \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln\left[\frac{e^{-\gamma_E}}{\pi}\right] + \ln\left[\frac{\mu^2}{x^2}\right] \right] \qquad \text{Original}$$
$$V \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln\left[\frac{e^{-\gamma_E}}{\pi}\right] + \ln\left[\frac{\mu^2}{x^2}\right] \right] \qquad \text{MS}$$
$$V \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln\left[\frac{e^{-\gamma_E}}{\pi}\right] + \ln\left[\frac{\mu^2}{x^2}\right] \right] \qquad \text{MS-Bar}$$

Physical quantities are independent of renormalization scheme!

$$V_{\overline{MS}}(x_1) - V_{\overline{MS}}(x_2) = \delta V = V_{MS}(x_1) - V_{MS}(x_2)$$

But only if performed consistently:

$$V_{\overline{MS}}(x_1) - V_{MS}(x_2) \neq \delta V \neq V_{MS}(x_1) - V_{\overline{MS}}(x_2)$$

Regulator provides unique definition of V, f, ω

Cutoff regulator L: simple, but does NOT respect symmetries

Dimensional regulator ε: respects symmetries: translation, Lorentz, Gauge invariance introduces new scale μ

All physical quantities (E, dV, σ) are independent of the regulator AND the new scale μ Renormalization group equation: $d\sigma/d\mu=0$

We can define renormalized quantities (V, f, ω) Renormalized (V, f, ω) are scheme dependent and arbitrary Physical quantities (E,dV, σ) are unique and scheme independent if we apply the scheme consistently When we do our calculations, where does the mysterious μ does renormalization scale come from???



What is inside the proton/nucleon??? The answer depends on how closely you look.



The answer is dependent upon the question

... an old preprint by Charles Dodgson

`Cheshire Puss,' ...

`Would you tell me, please, which way I ought to go from here?'

- `That depends a good deal on where you want to get to,' said the Cat.
- `I don't much care where--' said Alice.
- `Then it doesn't matter which way you go,' said the Cat.
- `--so long as I get somewhere,' Alice added as an explanation.
- `Oh, you're sure to do that,' said the Cat, `if you only walk long enough.'



Renormalization Group Equation



The Scaling of the Proton Structure Function

Data is (relatively) independent of energy

Scaling Violations observed at extreme x values



32

Homework: Mellin Transform

$$\widetilde{f}(n) = \int_0^1 dx \, x^{n-1} \, f(x) \qquad \qquad \sigma = f \otimes \omega$$
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C dn \, x^{-n} \, \widetilde{f}(n) \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\sigma} = \widetilde{f} \, \widetilde{\omega}$$

C is parallel to the imaginary axis, and to the right of all singularities

1) Take the Mellin transform of $f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m x^m$, and verify the inverse transform of \tilde{f} regenerates f(x)

2) Take the Mellin transform of $\sigma = f \otimes \omega$ to demonstrate that the Mellin transform separates a convolution yields $\tilde{\sigma} = \tilde{f} \ \tilde{\omega}$.

A useful reference:

Courant, Richard and Hilbert, David. Methods of Mathematical Physics, Vol. 1. New York: Wiley, 1989. 561 p.





The answer is dependent upon the question

'Cheshire Puss,' ...

'Would you tell me, please, which way I ought to go from here?'

- `That depends a good deal on where you want to get to,' said the Cat.
- 'I don't much care where--' said Alice.
- `Then it doesn't matter which way you go,' said the Cat.
- `--so long as I get somewhere,' Alice added as an explanation.
- `Oh, you're sure to do that,' said the Cat, `if you only walk long enough.'

LEFTOVER

Where do the

Logs come from?

Let's expand out the resummed expression:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq_T^2} \sim \frac{\alpha_s L}{q_T^2} e^{\alpha_s(L^2+L)} \sim \frac{1}{q_T^2} \left[\alpha_s L + \alpha_s^2(L^3+L^2) + \ldots \right]$$

Compare the above with the perturbative and asymptotic results:

$$d\sigma_{resum} \sim \left\{ \alpha_{s}L + \alpha_{s}^{2}(L^{3}+L^{2}+0+0) + \alpha_{s}^{3}(L^{5}+L^{4})+... \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{pert} \sim \left\{ \alpha_{s}L + \alpha_{s}^{2}(L^{3}+L^{2}+L^{1}+1) + \alpha_{s}^{3}(0+0) \right\}$$

$$d\sigma_{asym} \sim \left\{ \alpha_{s}L + \alpha_{s}^{2}(L^{3}+L^{2}+0+0) + \alpha_{s}^{3}(0+0) \right\}$$

Note that σ_{ASYM} removes overlap between σ_{RESUM} and σ_{PERT} .

We expect:

 σ_{RESUM} is a good representation for $q_T \sim 0$ σ_{PERT} is a good representation for $q_T \sim M_W$

NLO P_{T} distribution for the W boson





I've skipped over some details ..

Parisi & Petronzio, NP B154, 427 (1979) Dokshitzer, D'yakanov, Troyan, Phy. Rep. 58, 271 (1980)

Curci, Greco, Srivastava, PRL 43, 834 (1979); NP B159, 451 (1979) Jeff Owens, 2000 CTEQ Summer School Lectures



$$\sigma(Q^{2}) = \sigma_{0} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{4\pi} (3C_{F}) + \left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{4\pi} \right]^{2} \left[-C_{F}^{2} \left[\frac{3}{2} \right] + C_{F}C_{A} \left[\frac{123}{2} - 44\xi(3) \right] + C_{F}Tn_{f}(-22 + 16\xi(3)) \right] \right] \\ + \left[\frac{\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})}{4\pi} \right]^{3} \left[C_{F}^{3} \left[-\frac{69}{2} \right] + C_{F}^{2}C_{A}(-127 - 572\xi(3) + 880\xi(5)) \right] \\ + C_{F}C_{A}^{2} \left[\frac{90445}{54} - \frac{10948}{9}\xi(3) + \frac{440}{3}\xi(5) \right] \\ + C_{F}C_{A}^{2} \left[\frac{90445}{54} - \frac{10948}{9}\xi(3) - 320\xi(5) + C_{F}C_{A}Tn_{f} \left[-\frac{31040}{27} + \frac{7168}{9}\xi(3) + \frac{160}{3}\xi(5) \right] \right] \\ + C_{F}T^{2}n_{f}(-29 + 304\xi(3) - 320\xi(5)) + C_{F}C_{A}Tn_{f} \left[-\frac{31040}{27} + \frac{7168}{9}\xi(3) + \frac{160}{3}\xi(5) \right] \\ + C_{F}T^{2}n_{f}^{2} \left[\frac{4832}{27} - \frac{1216}{9}\xi(3) \right] - C_{F}\pi^{2} \left[\frac{11}{3}C_{A} - \frac{4}{3}Tn_{f} \right]^{2} + \frac{\left[\sum_{f} Q_{f} \right]^{2}}{(N\sum_{f} Q_{f}^{2})} \frac{D}{16} \left[\frac{176}{3} - 128\xi(3) \right] \right]$$
One mass scale: Q². No logarithms!!!

•

$$\begin{split} H_{qq}^{(2),S+V}(z) &= \left[\frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi}\right]^{2} \delta(1-z) \left\{ C_{A}C_{F} \left[\left[\frac{193}{3} - 24\zeta(3)\right] \ln \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] - 11 \ln^{2} \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] - \frac{13}{5}\zeta(2)^{2} + \frac{593}{5}\zeta(2) + 28\zeta(3) - \frac{1533}{112} \right] \\ &+ C_{F}^{2} \left[\left[18 - 32\zeta(2)\right] \ln^{2} \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] + \left[24\zeta(2) + 176\zeta(3) - 93\right] \ln \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] \\ &+ \frac{8}{5}\zeta(2)^{2} - 70\zeta(2) - 60\zeta(3) + \frac{511}{4} \right] \\ &+ n_{f}C_{F} \left[2 \ln^{2} \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] - \frac{14}{3} \ln \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] + 8\zeta(3) - \frac{112}{9}\zeta(2) + \frac{127}{6} \right] \right] \\ &+ C_{A}C_{F} \left[-\frac{44}{3}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \ln^{2} \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] + \left\{ \frac{536}{9} - 16\zeta(2)\right] \mathcal{D}_{0}(z) - \frac{176}{3}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) \right] \ln \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}}\right] \\ &- \frac{176}{3}\mathcal{D}_{2}(z) + \left[\frac{1079}{2} - 32\zeta(2)\right] \mathcal{D}_{1}(z) + \left[56\zeta(3) + \frac{176}{3}\zeta(2) - \frac{1665}{27}\right] \mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \\ &+ C_{F}^{2} \left[\left[64\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) + 48\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \ln^{2} \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left\{ 192\mathcal{D}_{2}(z) + 96\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) - \left[128 + 64\zeta(2)\right] \mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \ln \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] \\ &+ 128\mathcal{D}_{3}(z) - (128\zeta(2) + 256)\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) + 256\zeta(3)\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \\ &+ n_{f}C_{F} \left[\frac{8}{3}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \ln^{2} \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left[\frac{127}{3}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) - \frac{89}{9}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \ln \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \frac{33}{3}\mathcal{D}_{2}(z) - \frac{169}{9}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) + \left[\frac{224}{37} - \frac{32}{3}\zeta(2)\right] \mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \\ &+ N_{f}C_{F} \left[\frac{8}{3}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \ln^{2} \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left[\frac{127}{3}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) - \frac{89}{9}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \ln \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \frac{33}{3}\mathcal{D}_{2}(z) - \frac{169}{9}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) + \left[\frac{224}{37} - \frac{32}{3}\zeta(2)\right] \mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \\ &+ N_{f}C_{F} \left[\frac{8}{3}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \ln^{2} \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left[\frac{127}{3}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) - \frac{89}{9}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \ln \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \frac{128}{3}\mathcal{D}_{2}(z) - \frac{169}{9}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) + \left[\frac{224}{37} - \frac{32}{3}\zeta(2)\right] \mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \\ \\ &+ N_{f}C_{F} \left[\frac{8}{3}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \ln^{2} \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left[\frac{127}{3}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) - \frac{89}{9}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \ln \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \frac{128}{3}\mathcal{D}_{2}(z) - \frac{169}{9}\mathcal{D}_{1}(z) + \left[\frac{224}{37} - \frac{32}{3}\zeta(2)\right] \mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \right] \\ \\ &+ N_{f}C_{F} \left[\frac{8}{3}\mathcal{D}_{0}(z) \ln^{2} \left[\frac{Q^{2}}{M^{2}} \right] + \left[\frac{127}{3}\mathcal{D}$$

.

warmup ... Simple Pendulum



warmup ... Simple Pendulum



Independent of mass

