Stony Brook University, USA, June 2-13, 2024 The 2025 CFNS-SURGE Summer Workshop on the Physics of the Electron-Ion Collider

Experimental Introduction to Electron-Ion Collider Physics Lecture 1: Probing the Structure of Matter – From Rutherford to the EIC

Maria ŻUREK, Argonne National Laboratory







(i)

Are you an Experimentalist or Theorist?

Presenting with animations, GIFs or speaker notes? Enable our Chrome extension



HOW TO CHANGE THE DESIGN



Rosalind Franklin's "Photo 51" (1952) – DNA Double Helix



Hubble Deep Field Picture (1995)



First Electron Microscope Image of a Virus (1939)



Cryo-EM Image of Zika Virus (2016)



High-resolution Ribosome Structure (2000)



First Image of a Black Hole (2019)



Rosalind Franklin's "Photo 51" (1952) – DNA Double Helix



First Electron Microscope Image of a Virus (1939)



High-resolution Ribosome Structure (2000)



Hubble Deep Field Picture (1995)

Cryo-EM Image of Zika Virus (2016)

First Image of a Black Hole (2019)

Why scattering?

We can't use visible light to "see" inside atoms or protons: resolution is limited by wavelength

Scattering high-energy particles gives us a quantum microscope

The pattern and probability of deflections reveal:

- The size and shape of the target
- Whether it's made of **point-like or composite** constituents
- How its internal charges and spins respond to the interaction





larger scattering angle \rightarrow larger momentum transfer \rightarrow finer resolution

Wave length: 0.01 fm (20 GeV) Resolution: ~ 0.1 fm

Plan

Today:

- How did we get to where we are? A historical journey through proton structure experiments
- What open questions remain, and how the EIC will address them

Tomorrow:

- How do we experimentally access EIC physics? (Overview + case study)
- What are the experimental requirements to make it happen?

Decades of Discovery: The Proton Revealed But Not Yet Fully Understood



Imagine it's 1950. You're a physicist studying the proton. What do we know about it? What is still unknown?





How is change the design

Rutherford Scattering

• Strong angular dependence → rare but significant large-angle scattering

$$rac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left(rac{Z_1Z_2e^2}{16\pi\epsilon_0 E}
ight)^2rac{1}{\sin^4(heta/2)}$$

- Inconsistent with a diffuse charge distribution (e.g., Thomson model)
- Implies scattering off a compact, point-like Coulomb center

Main takeaway:

- The observed cross section matches a Coulomb potential from a target with radius $\lesssim 10^{-14}$ m while the atom's size is $\sim\!10^{-10}$ m
 - The nucleus occupies less than 1 part in 10,000 of the atomic volume, **but carries nearly all the mass and positive charge**



Electron Elastic Scattering

Why Use Electrons?

• Clean probe: electrons are **point-like**, experience only **electromagnetic interaction**



Hofstadter-era experiments used a ~200 MeV electron beam, thin targets, magnetic spectrometers, scintillators, and Cherenkov detectors to measure the angle, energy, and identity of elastically scattered electrons. Scattering experiments 1955-1961



FIG. 15. The semicircular 190-Mev spectrometer, to the left, is shown on the gun mount. The upper platform carries the lead and paraffin shielding that encloses the Čerenkov counter. The brass scattring chamber is shown below with the thin window encircling it. Ion chamber monitors appear in the foreground.

Electron Elastic Scattering

Why Use Electrons?

• Clean probe: electrons are **point-like**, experience only **electromagnetic interaction**

What We Learn:

- Nucleons are **not point-like**: charge and magnetization are spread over a few fm
- The spatial structure is revealed via the **Fourier transform** of form factors
- Confirms the proton's **anomalous magnetic moment** is due to internal structure: $\mu_{p} \approx 2.79 \mu_{N}$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{Mott}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{G_E^2(Q^2) + \tau G_M^2(Q^2)}{1 + \tau} + 2\tau G_M^2(Q^2) \tan^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ \text{electric form factor} & \text{magnetic form factor} \end{bmatrix}$$

At $Q^2 = 0$: $G_E(0) = 1$, $G_M(0) = \mu_p$ (in nuclear magnetons, μ_N) Deviations from point-like scattering in the **Q**² **dependence** of G_E and G_M

Robert Hofstadter - Nobel Prize 1961 Picture: Robert Hofstadter - Nobel Lecture cm² |steradiar Electron scattering 10-29 om hydroger spin-1/2 electron off (188 MeV (nh) spin-1/2 proton with point charge and point (anomalous) magnetic moment (c) Point charge point moment 10-30 (anomalous) curve Mott: spin-1/2 electron off a spinless, structureless point-like Coulombott cur potential 10-31 Experimental curv (b) Dirac curve 10 00 30 50 130

Dirac: spin-1/2 electron off spin-1/2 proton point charge with Dirac magnetic moment

Laboratory angle of scattering (in degrees)

Why Does the Q² Dependence of G_E and G_M Indicate Internal Structure?

- If the proton were **point-like**, its form factors would be **constant**:
 - G_E(Q²)=1
 - G_M(Q²)=µ_p
- But experimentally, both fall with increasing Q²
- This means that as we probe the proton at shorter distances (higher Q²), we see less response: like its internal charge and magnetization are spread out, not concentrated at a point
- So the **fall-off in GE and GM** directly tells us that the proton has **finite size and internal structure**



Fig. 23. Summary of results on nuclear form factors presented by the Stanford group at the 1965 "International Symposium on Electron and Photon Interactions at High Energies". (A momentum transfer of 1 GeV² is equivalent to 26 Fermis².)

Deep Inelastic Scattering (SLAC, 1960s)

Jerome I. Friedman, Henry W. Kendall, Richard E. Taylor - Nobel Prize 1990



SLAC DIS experiments upgraded this setup with multi-GeV beams, large magnetic spectrometers, tracking chambers, Cherenkov detectors, and calorimeters to precisely measure high-energy inelastic scattering and identify scattered electrons among backgrounds.

Deep Inelastic Scattering (SLAC, 1960s)

• Measured cross sections at large Q², wide angular range

$$rac{d^2\sigma}{dxdQ^2} \propto \left[F_2(x,Q^2) + 2xF_1(x,Q^2)\cdot an^2\left(rac{ heta}{2}
ight)
ight]$$

- Structure functions showed scaling → scattering off point-like constituents
- Identified as quarks → experimental foundation for the quark-parton model

Structure functions:

- F₂(x, Q²): Measures momentum distribution of quarks (both quarks and antiquarks), weighted by their charge squared
- **F**₁(**x**, **Q**²): Related to the transverse response to the virtual photon

Jerome I. Friedman, Henry W. Kendall, Richard E. Taylor - Nobel Prize 1990

Early data on DIS from the SLAC-MIT experiment PRL23(1969)935 $\theta = 10^{\circ}$ GeV 3.5 GeV 10 /o Mot Mott: spin-1/2 electron off a spinless, ELASTIC SCATTERING structureless point-like Coulomb potential 10-4 5 6 a² (GeV/c)²



Pictures: Henry W. Kendall - Nobel Lecture

M. Żurek

Kinematics Refresher

Key variables:

- Momentum transfer: q = k k' So Q² = -q² = momentum transfer squared (resolution scale)
- $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{e}}' = \text{energy transferred to the proton}$
- $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Q}^2 / (2\mathbf{M}\mathbf{v}) = B$ jorken- $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow$ momentum fraction of the struck quark
- **y** = v / E_e = inelasticity (how much energy is transferred)
- W² = M² + 2Mv Q² = invariant mass of the hadronic system

Full kinematics can be determined from either:

- Measured values of: E' and θ: *Experimentally easy to understand*
- Any two of: x, y, Q²: *Lorentz invariant* Related by: Q² = xys



Kinematics Refresher



Results from SLAC (elastic peak /8.5)

Elastic peak: **W=M**, **x=1** (proton doesn't break up: **ep**→**ep**)

Resonances:
$$W=M_R$$
,
1/x=1+($M_R^2-M^2$)/ Q^2

There is also some non-resonant background in the resonance region Continuum' or 'inelastic region': W>~1.8 GeV complicated multiparticle final states resulting in a smooth distribution in W

Charmonium and bottonium resonances at W~3 and 9 GeV

Gluons and Scaling Violations

What we observe:

- Small but clear **Q²-dependence** of F₂
 - \rightarrow Scaling is violated
 - \rightarrow A sign of QCD dynamics at play

Why it happens:

- Quarks radiate gluons as Q² increases
- This changes the **probability distributions** of quarks \rightarrow i.e., PDFs evolve with Q²

Interpretation: Scaling violations give us indirect access to **gluon distributions** through QCD evolution (DGLAP)



Example PDF fit: CTEQ14NNLO



M. Żurek

HERA Legacy

Extended the measured range of \boldsymbol{x} and \boldsymbol{Q}^{2} by orders of magnitude

- Revealed the sharp rise of F₂ at low x, especially as Q² increased
- Scaling violations at low x driven by **gluon** dynamics
- **Confirmed DGLAP evolution** and gluon-dominated behavior at small x
- Provided global fits used to extract modern PDFs
- And much, much more: heavy flavor PDFs, diffraction, jets, low-x physics...
- Laid the groundwork for future precision studies at the **EIC**

HERA (DESY, 1992–2007) collided electrons with protons at $\sqrt{s} \approx 300 \text{ GeV}$



The Proton Spin Crisis \rightarrow Puzzle

- In the naive quark model, proton spin = sum of quark spins
- But the 1988 EMC experiment found that quarks carry only ~30% of the proton's spin
- This result shocked the community → became known as the "proton spin crisis"
- Measuring each term requires different experimental probes: still an open puzzle
- EIC will play a central role in resolving it

In (LO QCD) Quark Parton Model





J. Ashman et al, Nucl. Phys. B328 (1989) 1

Longitudinal Spin Structure - Where Are We?

[1] NNPDF, Nucl. Phys. B 887 (2014) 276-308 x∆u(x,Q2=10 GeV2) $x \Delta d(x,Q^2=10 \text{ GeV}^2)$ 0.1 0.2 -0.15 NNPDFpol1.1 NNPDFpol1.1 DSSV08 42=1 -0.2 DSSV08 Ay2=1 - positivity bound - positivity bound 10-2 10-1 10-2 10-1 x ¥ Sea-quark polarization asymmetry [3] STAR, PRD RC 99 (2019), 051102 [2] The RHIC Cold QCD Program, arXiv:2302.00605 Sea Asymmetry 0.02 - XAB(x,Q2=10 GeV2) 0.08 $x(\Delta \overline{u} - \Delta \overline{d})$ 0.01 0.06 -0.01 0.04 -0.02 - DSSV Preliminary 0.02 0.01 xAd(xO2=10 GeV2) -0.01 $O^2 = 10 (GeV/c)^2$ -0.02 -0.02 NNPDFpol1.1 -0.03 DSSV14 NNPDFpol1.1rw -0.04 DSSV14 + RHIC -0.04 10-1 10 10-1

x

10-2

u and d quark helicities



Gluon Helicity

	NNPDF1.1[1]	DSSV08[1]
Х	(0.001, 1)	
ΔΣ	$+0.25\pm0.10$	$+0.366^{+0.042}_{-0.062}(+0.124)$

	DSSV14 + RHIC(2022)[2]	
Х	(0.001, 0.05)	(0.05, 1)
ΔG	0.173 ± 0.156	0.218 ± 0.027

What We've Learned About the Proton (Pre-EIC)

- **Rutherford scattering** revealed the atomic nucleus
- Elastic electron scattering showed the proton is not point-like → charge and magnetization are spatially distributed
- Deep Inelastic Scattering revealed point-like quarks inside the proton
 → confirmed by scaling and Callan–Gross relation
- Quarks carry fractional electric charges (e.g. +²/₃, -¹/₃): inferred from DIS and confirmed by neutrino scattering
- Scaling violations: presence of gluons, described by QCD
- Structure functions like F₂ led to the development of Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)
- EMC effect showed that quark distributions are modified in nuclei still not fully understood
- **HERA** mapped PDFs across a wide x–Q² range and exposed low-x gluon dominance
- **Proton spin puzzle**: quarks carry only ~30% of the total spin
 - \rightarrow Spin decomposition remains an open challenge

The Evolving Understanding of the Structure of the Proton



Protons and nuclei gain their fundamental properties, like mass and spin, from the intricate interactions between quarks and gluons within this complex system

What We've Learned About the Proton (Pre-EIC)

- **Rutherford scattering** revealed the atomic nucleus
- Elastic electron scattering showed the proton is not point-like
 → charge and magnetization are spatially distributed

This is not a complete list, but it highlights how scattering has revealed the proton's inner landscape

3D pictures of gluons remain largely uncharted territory as well as an understanding of emergent properties of the proton and nucleus like spin and mass

- HERA mapped PDFs across a wide x–Q² range and exposed low-x gluon dominance
- Proton spin puzzle: quarks carry only ~30% of the total spin
 → Spin decomposition remains an open challenge



(i)

What do you think is still unknown about the proton, or what mystery should the EIC help solve?

Presenting with animations, GIFs or speaker notes? Enable our Chrome extension

slido

How to change the design

(Selected) Open Questions that EIC will address

How do Protons and Neutrons Acquire Mass?

Gluons have no mass and **quarks are nearly massless**, but protons and nuclei are heavy, making up most of the visible mass of the Universe

Where does the mass of the visible world come from?



Our mass arises from the energy of the strong force between quarks and gluons!



99% from interactions

3D Mapping of Gluons Inside Matter

EIC will provide the **first ever 3D images of gluons inside protons:**

- How do gluon interactions contribute to the proton's mass?
- How do they mediate the forces that bind quarks together?
- Analogous to how the discovery of DNA's double helix unveiled the structure and organization of genetic information



EIC will **3D map gluons inside protons** using special reactions that keep the proton intact and produce a quark-antiquark bound state

Motion of Gluons and Quarks Inside the Proton

The EIC will create the first 3D map of gluon and sea quark motion inside the proton, revealing their correlation with the proton's spin.



Current Understanding: Existing 3D maps only illustrate the motion of quarks, leaving the dynamics of gluons and sea quarks completely unexplored

Unraveling the Mystery of the Origin of the Proton Spin





After decades of experiments: **quark spins account for only about 30%** of the proton's spin

We now know that **quarks, gluons**, and **their motion** all contribute, but the full picture remains elusive

Origin of the Proton Spin - Unknown Components

How much do the spins of quarks and gluons very "deep" inside the proton contribute to its spin?

Massive uncertainty range from -300% to +300% of the total proton spin!



How much does **the motion of quarks and gluons** contribute to the proton's spin?

Close to zero—but with a huge uncertainty ranging from -100% to +80% of the total proton spin!

Heart of Nuclear Matter

We are made of atoms, with heavier nuclei, not just protons.

The proton (1980s) he proton (2020s)





How does a high-density nuclear environment affect the behaviors of quarks and gluons?

The EIC will provide a complete view of the nucleus with insights into interactions that give rise to the forces binding protons and neutrons together in nuclei



M. Żurek

New State of Gluonic Matter

- High-energy protons are packed with
 increasing numbers of gluons
- At extreme densities, gluon multiplication halts, giving rise to a new state of matter—this is gluon saturation
- Heavy ion beams at the EIC are key to creating the extreme conditions needed to study and magnify this effect



The EIC will probe the unexplored dense gluon environments, potentially unveiling new states of matter and deepening our understanding of the fundamental forces that bind everything in the visible Universe

Probing Uncharted Territory Unprecedented Access to Nucleon and Nucleus Structure



To be continued...

Experimental Access EIC Physics: how and what do we need?









Neutral Current DIS

Detection of

scattered electron

with high precision

- event kinematics

Event kinematics from the final state particles (Jacquet-Blondel method)

Charged Current DIS Semi-Inclusive DIS

Precise detection of scattered electron in coincidence with at least 1 hadron

Deep Exclusive Processes

Detection of all particles in event





••• Audience Q&A



Presenting with animations, GIFs or speaker notes? Enable our Chrome extension



HOW TO CHERCE THE CLESICH



Callan–Gross Relation

What it is:

• In the naive parton model with spin-1/2, massless, non-interacting quarks:

 $\mathsf{F}_2(\mathsf{x}) = 2\mathsf{x}\mathsf{F}_1(\mathsf{x})$

Why it holds:

- Only transverse virtual photons interact at leading order
- Longitudinal photons (helicity 0) can't be absorbed without quark spin flip
- Massless quarks can't flip helicity \rightarrow No longitudinal contribution

Implication:

• Longitudinal structure function: $F_L = F_2 - 2xF_1 = 0$

Key Takeaway:

The Callan–Gross relation is a signature of **spin-1**/2 **quarks** behaving as free particles at high energies. Deviations from it probe QCD effects and quark-gluon dynamics.

Bibliography

Pictures on Slide 2

- 1. Rosalind Franklin's "Photo 51"
 - Citation: IUCr Newsletter. Rosalind Franklin (1920–1958). Volume 28, Number 2. Available at: <u>IUCr</u>
- 2. First Electron Microscope Image of a Virus
 - Citation: Bawden, F. C., & Pirie, N. W. (1939). The visualisation of viruses using electron microscopy. Naturwissenschaften, 27, 292–299. DOI: 10.1007/BF01489805
- 3. High-Resolution Ribosome Structure
 - Citation: Ramakrishnan, V., Steitz, T. A., & Yonath, A. (2009). Nobel Prize in Chemistry for studies on the structure and function of the ribosome. Available at: <u>LMB</u>
- 4. Hubble Deep Field
 - Citation: NASA, Robert Williams, and the Hubble Deep Field Team (STScl). Hubble Deep Field. Available at: <u>NASA</u>
- 5. Cryo-EM Image of Zika Virus
 - Citation: Zhu, Y. et al. (2016). Cryo-EM analysis of the Zika virus. Science, 352(6284), 467–470. DOI: 10.1126/science.aaf5316
- 6. First Image of a Black Hole
 - Citation: The Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration et al. (2019). First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. I. The Shadow of the Supermassive Black Hole. The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 875, L1. DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/ab0ec7