



Is η_c meson production a golden channel for the study of the Odderon?

Michael Roa

DataScience Laboratory, Universidad de Playa Ancha

Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María

Valparaíso, Chile

Based on: PRD 111 (2025) 5, 056024 In colaboration with: M. Siddikov and I. Zemlyakov The 2025 CFNS-SURGE summer workshop on the Physics of the EIC.

Let's first see what the Odderon is:



- A Regge trajectory with odd charge conjugation parity: C=-1.
- It is the C-odd counterpart of the Pomeron (C=+1).
- Describes the **exchange of an odd number of gluons**, minimally **three** in a color-singlet configuration.
- Appears naturally in **high-energy scattering**, especially in **differences** between pp and $p\bar{p}$ cross sections.

$$C: \qquad \vec{x}_{1\perp} \leftrightarrow \vec{x}_{0\perp} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\vec{x}_{1\perp}, \vec{x}_{0\perp}, Y) = -\mathcal{O}(\vec{x}_{0\perp}, \vec{x}_{1\perp}, Y)$$

Cornille-Martin theorem

The ratio of the two differential croos section should approach 1 at high energies.

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{d\sigma^{p+\bar{p}}/dt}{d\sigma^{p+p}/dt} = 1$$

Does this mean that the two differential cross section are equal at high energies?

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \left[\frac{d\sigma^{p+\bar{p}}}{dt} - \frac{d\sigma^{p+p}}{dt} \right] \neq 1$$



Let's examine briefly the literature





A. Dumitru and T. Stebel 2019

• The discovery of the three gluon QCD exchange in this process requires measurements at fairly large momentum transfer.

Our proposal: Pomeron exchange with an undetected photon.



For the unpolarized cross-section, summation over spins of photons in the final state and averaging over helicities in the initial state.

Difference between amplitudes: the wave functions.

$$\mathbf{A_{1}} = \int_{0}^{\alpha_{\eta_{c}}} dz_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{3} \left(d^{2} \boldsymbol{r}_{k} \right) \Phi_{\eta_{c}}^{(h,\bar{h})\dagger} \left(\frac{z_{0}}{z_{0}+z_{1}}, \boldsymbol{r}_{10} \right) \Psi_{\gamma \rightarrow \gamma \bar{Q} \bar{Q} Q}^{(\lambda,\sigma,h,\bar{h})} \left(z_{0}, z_{1} = \alpha_{\eta_{c}} - z_{0}, z_{2} \equiv \bar{\alpha}_{\eta_{c}}, \boldsymbol{r}_{0}, \boldsymbol{r}_{1}, \boldsymbol{r}_{2} \right) \times \\
\times \mathcal{N}\left(x, \boldsymbol{r}_{10}, \boldsymbol{b}_{10} \right) \exp\left[-i \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^{\eta_{c}} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{b}_{10} - \frac{\bar{\alpha}_{\eta_{c}}}{\alpha_{\eta_{c}}} \boldsymbol{r}_{\gamma} \right) - i \boldsymbol{k}_{\gamma}^{\perp} \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{b}_{10} \right) \right], \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\psi_{\lambda,\sigma,h,\bar{h}}}{(1-a_{\sigma}+z_{2})} \left\{ s_{\lambda,-1} \left((2a_{\sigma}+z_{1}) - \lambda z_{2} \right) s_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ s_{\lambda,-1}^{\varepsilon} \left(s_{\lambda,-1} + s_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \left\{ s_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \left(- s_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \left(s_{\lambda} - s_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon} \left(s_{$$

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{QQ \to \gamma \eta_{c}}^{(m,n)} \psi_{\gamma \to \bar{Q}Q}^{(n,n)}(z_{0},z_{1},r_{0},r_{1},r_{2}) = \\ &= \kappa m \delta_{\lambda,\sigma} \left\{ iK_{0}\left(\varepsilon r\right) \left[\frac{2Z_{0}\bar{Z}_{1}\varepsilon_{\lambda}^{*}\varepsilon_{\sigma}^{*i}I_{1}^{ki}}{\bar{Z}_{1}^{2}} - \frac{2Z_{1}\bar{Z}_{0}\varepsilon_{\lambda}^{*}\varepsilon_{\sigma}^{*i}I_{1}^{ki}}{\bar{Z}_{0}^{2}} - \frac{-\frac{Z_{0}Z_{2}}{\bar{Z}_{1}^{2}}J_{(1)} - \frac{Z_{1}Z_{2}}{\bar{Z}_{0}^{2}}J_{(2)} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon K_{1}\left(\varepsilon r\right)}{Z_{0} + Z_{1}}e^{i\lambda\phi_{r}} \left[-I_{(1)}^{(-\lambda)}\left(\frac{Z_{1}\bar{Z}_{1} + Z_{0}^{2}}{\bar{Z}_{1}}\right) + I_{(2)}^{(-\lambda)}\left(\frac{Z_{0}\bar{Z}_{0} + Z_{1}^{2}}{\bar{Z}_{0}}\right) + Z_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{Z_{0}}{\bar{Z}_{1}^{2}}\hat{I}_{(1)}^{(-\lambda)} + \frac{Z_{1}}{\bar{Z}_{0}^{2}}\hat{I}_{(2)}^{(-\lambda)}\right) \right] \right\} \\ &+ \kappa m \delta_{\lambda,-\sigma} \left\{ e^{i\lambda\phi_{r}}\varepsilon K_{1}\left(\varepsilon r\right) \left[\frac{I_{(2)}^{(\lambda)}}{Z_{0} + Z_{1}}\frac{Z_{1}}{\bar{Z}_{0}} - \frac{I_{(1)}^{(\lambda)}}{Z_{0} + Z_{1}}\frac{Z_{0}}{\bar{Z}_{1}} + \frac{Z_{2}^{2}}{Z_{0}^{2}}\left(\frac{Z_{1}}{\bar{Z}_{1}^{2}}\hat{I}_{(1)}^{(\lambda)} + \frac{Z_{0}}{\bar{Z}_{0}^{2}}\hat{I}_{(2)}^{(\lambda)}\right) \right] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{i\lambda K_{0}\left(\varepsilon r\right)}{m} \left[I_{(2)}^{(\lambda,\lambda)} - I_{(1)}^{(\lambda,\lambda)} \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$

Results:



• For the typical EIC energy $\sqrt{s} \approx 100$ GeV

 $\sigma_{\rm tot}^{\rm (ep)} \left(\sqrt{s_{ep}} = 100 \,{\rm GeV}, \, M_{\gamma\eta_c} \ge 3.5 \,{\rm GeV} \right) \approx 208 \,{\rm fb}$

$$\mathcal{L} = 10^{34} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1} = 0.864 \,\mathrm{fb}^{-1} \mathrm{day}^{-1}$$

• At future EIC, the cross section gives a production rate:

 $dN/dt \approx 180$ events/day.

 $N=2.1\times 10^4$ produced $\eta_c\gamma$

 η_c is not detected directly, we must know counting rate $~dN_d/dt pprox 139 {
m ~events/month}$

$$\operatorname{Br}_{\eta_c} = \operatorname{Br}\left(\eta_c(1S) \to K^0_S K^+ \pi^-\right) = 2.6\%.$$

1903.05375

With N_d =540 detected events per 100 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity

But:

- It is posible to separate different mechanisms, even when the final photon is not detected, imposing appropriate cuts of the variable $(q \Delta)^2$.
- Where q : is the momentum of incoming photon, and Δ is the momentum transfer of the recoil proton.
- This variable corresponds to:
 - $M^2_{J/\psi}$, $M^2_{\gamma\eta_c}$ and $M^2_{\eta_c}$.
- However, separation of the odderon contribution using this method would require measurements of the momenta of recoil proton and scattered electron with **outstanding precision**.